

Generalized inverses of operators on Hilbert C^* -modules

Dragan S. Djordjević

November 22, 2012

Let A be a C^* -algebra and let \mathcal{M} be a right A -module. This means that $(\mathcal{M}, +)$ is an Abelian group, and there exists an exterior multiplication: if $x \in \mathcal{M}$ and $a \in A$, then $x \cdot a \in \mathcal{M}$. This multiplication satisfies the same axioms as the scalar multiplication in vector spaces.

Additionally, if A does not have the unit, we assume that the scalar multiplication of elements in \mathcal{M} exists. If $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $x \in \mathcal{M}$, then we write equivalently $x\lambda = \lambda x \in \mathcal{M}$. If A has the unit, then the scalar multiplication follows easily from the multiplication by elements of A .

Definition 0.1. Let \mathcal{M} be a module over a C^* -algebra A . Suppose that there exists an A -valued inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow A$, satisfying the following:

- (1) $\langle x, x \rangle \geq 0$ in A for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$;
- (2) $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle y, x \rangle^*$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{M}$;
- (3) $\langle x, ya \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle a$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{M}$ and all $a \in A$.

Then \mathcal{M} is a Hilbert pre-module over A .

Definition 0.2. If \mathcal{M} is a pre-Hilbert module over A , and \mathcal{M} is complete with respect to the norm $\| \cdot \|_{\mathcal{M}}$, then \mathcal{M} is a Hilbert C^* -module over A , or \mathcal{M} is a Hilbert C^* A -module.

Example 0.1. If A is a C^* -algebra, then A is itself a Hilbert module, since the inner product is given by $\langle a, b \rangle = a^*b$ for all $a, b \in A$.

More generally, let J be a right ideal of A . Then J is a Hilbert module over A , if the inner product is given by $\langle a, b \rangle = a^*b$.

Example 0.2. Let $M^{m \times n}$ denotes the set of all complex matrices of the form $m \times n$. Then $M^{m \times n}$ is a right $M^{n \times n}$ -module. The norm $\| \cdot \|$ can be defined as $\|A\|_{M^{m \times n}} = \|AA^*\|$.

On the other hand, we can consider $M^{m \times n}$ as a left $M^{m \times m}$ -module, and the natural norm is defined as $\|A\|_{M^{m \times n}} = \|A^*A\|$.

We know that both norms are the same!

Let \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} be Hilbert C^* -modules over a C^* -algebra A . A mapping $T : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ is called *operator* if T is a bounded \mathbb{C} -linear A -homomorphism from \mathcal{M} to \mathcal{N} , i.e. T satisfies:

$$T(x+y) = T(x)+T(y), \quad T(\lambda x) = \lambda T(x), \quad T(xa) = T(x)a, \quad x, y \in \mathcal{M}, \quad a \in A, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C},$$

and there exists some $M \geq 0$ such that

$$\|T(x)\|_{\mathcal{M}} \leq M\|x\|_{\mathcal{N}}, \quad x \in \mathcal{M}.$$

The norm of T is given by

$$\|T\| = \inf\{M \geq 0 : \|T(x)\|_{\mathcal{M}} \leq M\|x\|_{\mathcal{N}}, \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{M}\}.$$

The set of all operators from \mathcal{M} to \mathcal{N} is denoted by $\text{Hom}_A(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$. Particularly, $\text{End}_A(\mathcal{M}) = \text{Hom}_A(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$.

Lemma 0.1. $\text{End}_A(\mathcal{M})$ is a Banach algebra.

We shall see that the question of adjoint operators is not trivial.

Lemma 0.2. Let \mathcal{M} be a Hilbert A -module, and let $T : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ and $T^* : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be A -linear mappings such that

$$\langle x, Ty \rangle = \langle T^*x, y \rangle \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Then $T, T^* \in \text{End}_A(\mathcal{M})$.

Definition 0.3. An operator $T \in \text{Hom}_A(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ is adjointable, if there exists and operator $T^* \in \text{Hom}_A(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M})$ such that for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$ and all $y \in \mathcal{N}$ the following holds:

$$\langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle x, T^*y \rangle.$$

There exists operators that are not adjointable.

The set of all adjointable operators from \mathcal{M} to \mathcal{N} is denoted by $\text{Hom}_A^*(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$. We see that $\text{End}_A^*(\mathcal{M})$ is a C^* -algebra.

Theorem 0.1. For $T \in \text{End}_A^*(\mathcal{M})$ the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) T is a positive element in the C^* -algebra $\text{End}_A^*(\mathcal{M})$;
- (2) For all $x \in \mathcal{M}$ the element Tx is positive in the C^* -algebra A .

Theorem 0.2. Let $T : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ be a linear map. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) T is bounded and A -homomorphism;
- (2) There exists a constant $K \geq 0$ such that the inequality $\langle Tx, Tx \rangle \leq K\langle x, x \rangle$ holds in A for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$.

Lemma 0.3. *Let A be a unital C^* -algebra and let $r : A \rightarrow A$ be a linear map such that for some constant $K \geq 0$ the inequality $r(a)^*r(a) \leq Ka^*a$ holds for all $a \in A$. Then $r(a) = r(1)a$ for all $a \in A$.*

Example 0.3. Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{L}$ be the orthogonal decomposition of Hilbert modules. Define $P : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ to be the projection from \mathcal{M} onto \mathcal{N} parallel to \mathcal{L} . Then P is bounded, $\|P\| = 1$ and $P^* = P$. Hence, $P \in \text{End}_A^*(\mathcal{M})$.

Theorem 0.3. (Misčenko) *Let \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} be Hilbert A -modules, and let $T \in \text{Hom}_A^*(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ such that $R(T)$ is closed in \mathcal{N} . Then the following hold:*

- (1) $N(T)$ is a complemented submodule of \mathcal{M} and $N(T)^\perp = R(T^*)$;
- (2) $R(T)$ is a complemented module of \mathcal{N} and $R(T)^\perp = N(T^*)$;
- (3) T^* also has a closed range.

Let \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} be Hilbert modules, and let $T \in \text{Hom}_A(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$, or $T \in \text{Hom}_A^*(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$.

T is generalized invertible, if there exists some $T_1 \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M})$ such that $TT_1T = T$.

We can also require that S satisfies all Penrose equations, in order to obtain the Moore-Penrose inverse of T .

Outer inverse with prescribed range and null-module:

Let $T \in \text{Hom}_A^*(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$, and let K and H be submodules of \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} , respectively. Find $U \in \text{Hom}_A(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M})$ such that the following hold:

$$UTU = U, R(U) = K, N(U) = H.$$

If such U exists, then $U = T_{K,H}^{(2)}$.

Equivalent conditions (Xu, Zhang):

$$\mathcal{N} = A(K) \oplus H, N(T) \cap K = \{0\}, \mathcal{M} = T^*(H^\perp) \oplus K^\perp, N(T^*) \cap H^\perp = \{0\}.$$

The notion for the commutators follows: $[U, V] = UV - VU$, for appropriate choice of operators U and V .

Let $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{L}$ be Hilbert modules, and let $A \in \text{Hom}^*(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{L})$ and $B \in \text{Hom}^*(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ have closed ranges, such that AB also has a closed range. Find necessary and sufficient conditions such that the reverse order law holds:

$$(AB)^\dagger = B^\dagger A^\dagger.$$

A new result follows.

Theorem 0.4. *If $A \in \text{Hom}^*(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{L})$, $B \in \text{Hom}^*(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ and $AB \in \text{Hom}^*(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ have closed ranges, then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $(AB)^\dagger = B^\dagger A^\dagger$;
- (2) $[A^\dagger A, BB^*] = 0$ and $[A^* A, BB^\dagger] = 0$;
- (3) $R(A^* AB) \subset R(B)$ and $R(BB^* A^*) \subset R(A^*)$;
- (4) $A^* ABB^*$ has a commuting Moore-Penrose inverse.