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Abstract—In this paper we consider polynomials orthogonal with respect to the linear functional $L : \mathcal{P} \to \mathbb{C}$, defined by $L[p] = \int_{-1}^{1} p(x)(1-x^2)^{\lambda-1/2}\exp(i\xi x) \, dx$, where $\mathcal{P}$ is a linear space of all algebraic polynomials, $\lambda > -1/2$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$. We prove the existence of such polynomials for some pairs of $\lambda$ and $\xi$, give some of their properties, and finally give an application to numerical integration of highly oscillatory functions.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a linear space of all algebraic polynomials, $\mathcal{P}_n$ its subspace of polynomials of degree at most $n$, and $L : \mathcal{P} \to \mathbb{C}$ a linear functional defined by

$$L[p] = \int_{-1}^{1} p(x)w(x)\exp(i\xi x) \, dx, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\},$$

where $w$ is a suitable "weight function."

Taking $L[x^k] = \mu_k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and using a concept of orthogonality with respect to the linear functional $L$ (cf. Chihara [1, pp. 5–17]), the necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of the corresponding orthogonal polynomials $\pi_n$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}_0$) can be expressed in terms of Hankel determinants,

$$(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad \Delta_n = \begin{vmatrix} \mu_0 & \mu_1 & \ldots & \mu_{n-1} \\ \mu_1 & \mu_2 & \ldots & \mu_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mu_{n-1} & \mu_n & \ldots & \mu_{2n-2} \end{vmatrix} \neq 0. \quad (1.2)$$

Recently, we have considered orthogonal polynomials with respect to the functional $L[x; p]$ with $\xi = m\pi$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}$), as well as a case with a modified Chebyshev weight $w(x) = x(1-x^2)^{-1/2}$ and $\xi > 0$ (cf. [2] and [3]). With a matrix Riemann-Hilbert problem formulation of the orthogonality relations, Aptekarev and Van Assche [4] have investigated the case $L[p] = \int_{-1}^{1} p(x)p(x)(1-
Much earlier, orthogonal polynomials on the semicircle with respect to $\rho$ is a complex valued non-vanishing function on $[-1,1]$, which is holomorphic in some domain containing the interval $[-1,1]$. In a special case $\rho$ can be $\rho(x) = e^{ix}$. 

2. MOMENTS AND EXISTENCE OF ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS

We consider the linear functional

$$L[p] = \int_{-1}^{1} p(x)(1-x^2)^{\lambda-1/2} \exp(i\zeta x) \, dx,$$  \hfill (2.1)

with the restriction $\zeta > 0$. The case $\zeta < 0$ can obtain under substitution $x := -x$.

In our case the moments $\mu_k$ can be expressed in terms of Bessel functions $J_\nu$ of the order $\nu$ defined by (cf. [7, p. 40])

$$J_\nu(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m (z/2)^{\nu+2m}}{m! \Gamma(\nu+m+1)},$$

Theorem 2.1. The moments $\mu_k$ can be expressed in the form

$$\mu_k = \frac{A}{(i\zeta)^k} \left( P_k^\lambda(\zeta) J_\lambda(\zeta) + Q_k^\lambda(\zeta) J_{\lambda-1}(\zeta) \right), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0, \hfill (2.2)$$

where $A = (2/\zeta)^{\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\pi}} \Gamma(\lambda+1/2)$, and $P_k^\lambda$ and $Q_k^\lambda$ are polynomials in $\zeta$, which satisfy the following four-term recurrence relation

$$y_{k+2} = S[y_k] := -(k+2\lambda+1)y_{k+1} - \zeta^2 y_k - k\zeta^2 y_{k-1}, \hfill (2.3)$$

with the initial conditions

$$P_0^\lambda(\zeta) = 1, \quad P_1^\lambda(\zeta) = -2\lambda, \quad P_2^\lambda(\zeta) = 2\lambda(2\lambda+1) - \zeta^2 \quad \text{and} \quad Q_0^\lambda(\zeta) = 0, \quad Q_1^\lambda(\zeta) = \zeta, \quad Q_2^\lambda(\zeta) = -(2\lambda+1)\zeta,$$

respectively.

Proof. According to (2.1) and using the recurrence relation for Bessel functions, $J_{\lambda+1}(\zeta) = (2\lambda/\zeta)J_\lambda(\zeta) - J_{\lambda-1}(\zeta)$, for $\mu_k = L[x^k]$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we get

$$\mu_0 = AJ_\lambda(\zeta), \quad \mu_1 = iA \left[ \frac{2\lambda}{\zeta} J_\lambda(\zeta) - J_{\lambda-1}(\zeta) \right], \quad \mu_2 = A \left[ \left(1 - \frac{2\lambda(2\lambda+1)}{\zeta^2} \right) J_\lambda(\zeta) + \frac{2\lambda+1}{\zeta} J_{\lambda-1}(\zeta) \right], \hfill (2.4)$$

where $A = (2/\zeta)^{\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\pi}} \Gamma(\lambda+1/2)$, from which we can identify the initial values for $P_k^\lambda$ and $Q_k^\lambda$, $k = 0, 1, 2$. Applying an integration by parts to the integral

$$\mu_k - \mu_{k+2} = \int_{-1}^{1} x^k (1-x^2)^{\lambda+1/2} e^{i\zeta x} \, dx$$
we obtain the following four-term recurrence relation

$$\mu_{k+2} = \frac{k + 2\lambda + 1}{i\zeta} \mu_{k+1} + \mu_{k} + \frac{k}{i\zeta} \mu_{k-1}. \quad (2.5)$$

In order to prove (2.2) we apply the induction. According to (2.4), it is clear that (2.2) is true for \( k = 0, 1, 2 \). Let suppose that it is true for some three consecutive nonnegative integers \( k - 1, k \) and \( k + 1, k \in \mathbb{N} \). Then, using (2.5), the induction assumptions and (2.3), we get

$$\mu_{k+2} = -\frac{k + 2\lambda + 1}{i\zeta} A \left( \frac{P_{k+1}^\lambda(\zeta)}{J_{k+1}(\zeta)} J_\lambda(\zeta) + Q_{k+1}^\lambda(\zeta) J_{\lambda-1}(\zeta) \right)$$

$$+ \frac{A}{i\zeta k} \left( \frac{P_k^\lambda(\zeta) J_\lambda(\zeta) + Q_k^\lambda(\zeta) J_{\lambda-1}(\zeta)}{J_{k-1}(\zeta) J_\lambda(\zeta) + Q_{k-1}^\lambda(\zeta) J_{\lambda-1}(\zeta)} \right)$$

$$= \frac{A}{i\zeta k+2} \left( J_\lambda(\zeta) S[P_k^\lambda] + J_{\lambda-1}(\zeta) S[Q_k^\lambda] \right)$$

$$= \frac{A}{i\zeta k+2} \left( P_{k+2}^\lambda(\zeta) J_\lambda(\zeta) + Q_{k+2}^\lambda(\zeta) J_{\lambda-1}(\zeta) \right).$$

Some obvious properties of the polynomials \( P_n^\lambda \) and \( Q_n^\lambda, n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \), are stated in the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** For each \( k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \), we have \( \deg(P_{2k}^\lambda) = \deg(P_{2k+1}^\lambda) = 2k \). Also, for each \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), \( \deg(Q_{2k}^\lambda) = \deg(Q_{2k-1}^\lambda) = 2k \).

The free terms in the polynomials \( P_n^\lambda \) and \( Q_n^\lambda \) are given by \( P_n^\lambda(0) = (-1)^n(2\lambda)n \) and \( Q_n^\lambda(0) = 0 \) respectively. Their leading coefficients are

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (-1)^k, & n = 2k, \\ (-1)^k(2k\lambda + k - 1), & n = 2k - 1 \end{array} \right.$$ and

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (-1)^k(2\lambda + 1), & n = 2k, \\ (-1)^k, & n = 2k + 1 \end{array} \right.$$ respectively.

As we can see immediately, for each \( \lambda > -1/2 \), if \( \zeta > 0 \) is an arbitrary zero of the Bessel function \( J_\lambda \), the polynomials \( \pi_n \), orthogonal with respect to (2.1) do not exist, because \( \Delta_0 = \mu_0 = AJ_\lambda(\zeta) = 0 \) (see (2.4)).

In the next theorem we prove that for some pairs of \( \lambda \) and \( \zeta \) these polynomials though exist.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let \( \lambda \) be a positive rational number and \( \zeta \) be a positive zero of the Bessel function \( J_{\lambda-1} \). Then, the polynomials \( \pi_n \), orthogonal with respect to (2.1) exist.

**Proof.** In order to prove the existence of polynomials \( \pi_n \), orthogonal with respect to the functional (2.1) we need to prove that the corresponding Hankel determinants are different from zero. Supposing that \( \zeta \) be a nontrivial zero of the Bessel function \( J_{\lambda-1} \), the moments (2.2) reduce to

$$\mu_k = \frac{A}{i\zeta k} P_k^\lambda(\zeta) J_\lambda(\zeta), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Obviously, from the Hankel determinant (1.2) we can extract the factor \( (AJ_\lambda(\zeta))^{n+1}/(i\zeta)^{n(n+1)} \), so that

$$\Delta_n = \frac{(AJ_\lambda(\zeta))^n}{(i\zeta)^{n(n-1)}} H_n,$$

$$H_n = \begin{vmatrix}
P_0^\lambda(\zeta) & P_1^\lambda(\zeta) & \cdots & P_{n-1}^\lambda(\zeta) \\
P_1^\lambda(\zeta) & P_2^\lambda(\zeta) & \cdots & P_n^\lambda(\zeta) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
P_{n-1}^\lambda(\zeta) & P_n^\lambda(\zeta) & \cdots & P_{2n-2}^\lambda(\zeta)
\end{vmatrix}. \quad (2.6)$$

Note that all determinants \( H_n, n \in \mathbb{N} \), are polynomials in \( \zeta \), i.e., \( H_n = H_n(\zeta) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{n(n-1)} B_{\nu}(\lambda)\zeta^{\nu} \), with rational coefficients \( B_{\nu}(\lambda) \) since \( \lambda \in \mathbb{Q} \).

On the other side, non-trivial zeros (\( \zeta > 0 \)) of the Bessel functions \( J_\lambda \), with a rational index \( \lambda \), are transcendental numbers (cf. [8, p. 220]) and they cannot be zeros of polynomials with rational coefficients unless polynomials are identically zero.
Thus, we have to prove only that determinants $H_n$ are not identically equal to zero. To prove this we emphasize that, according to Lemma 2.1, the free coefficient in the polynomial $P_k^\lambda(0) = (-1)^k(2\lambda)_k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_n$, and that therefore the free coefficient in the polynomial $H_n(\zeta)$ equals to $H_n(0) = B_0(\lambda)$. Using equality $(2\lambda)_k = \Gamma(2\lambda+k)/\Gamma(2\lambda)$, we get

$$H_n(0) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(2\lambda)^n} \begin{vmatrix} \Gamma(2\lambda) & \Gamma(2\lambda+1) & \cdots & \Gamma(2\lambda+n-1) \\ \Gamma(2\lambda+1) & \Gamma(2\lambda+2) & \cdots & \Gamma(2\lambda+n) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \Gamma(2\lambda+n-1) & \Gamma(2\lambda+n) & \cdots & \Gamma(2\lambda+2n-2) \end{vmatrix}.$$ 

According to $\Gamma(2\lambda+k) = \int_0^{+\infty} x^{k+2\lambda-1} e^{-x} \, dx$ we recognize the last determinant as a Hankel determinant for the generalized Laguerre measure $x^{2\lambda-1} e^{-x} \chi_{[0,+\infty)}(x) \, dx$ ($\lambda > 0$). Because of that, $H_n(0)$ is evidently different from zero.

Accordingly, when $\lambda$ is a positive rational number and $\zeta$ is a positive zero of the Bessel function $J_{\lambda-1}$, the sequence of orthogonal polynomials with respect to (2.1) exists.

This result enables an application of computational methods for the construction of these polynomials, as well as some applications in numerical quadratures for the mentioned specific values of the parameters $\lambda$ and $\zeta$.

### 3. THREE-TERM RECURRENCE RELATION

In this section we suppose such parameters $\lambda$ and $\zeta$, which provide the existence of orthogonal polynomials $\pi_n$ with respect to (2.1). As we mentioned in Section 1, the (quasi) inner-product $(p, q) \equiv \mathcal{L}[w; p \cdot q]$, in our case (2.1), has the property $(zp, q) = (p, zq)$, and because of that, the corresponding (monic) polynomials $\{\pi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_n}$ satisfy the fundamental three-term recurrence relation

$$\pi_{n+1}(x) = (x - i\alpha_n)\pi_n(x) - \beta_n\pi_{n-1}(x), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (3.1)$$

with $\pi_0(x) = 1$, $\pi_{-1}(x) = 0$. The recursion coefficients $\alpha_n$ and $\beta_n$ can be expressed in terms of Hankel determinants as (cf. [5])

$$i\alpha_n = \frac{\Delta_n'}{\Delta_n} = \frac{1}{i\zeta} \left( \frac{H'_{n+1}}{H_{n+1}} - \frac{H'_{n}}{H_{n}} \right), \quad \beta_n = \frac{\Delta_{n+1}\Delta_{n-1}}{\Delta_n^2} = \frac{1}{(i\zeta)^2} \frac{H_{n+1}H_{n-1}}{H_n^2}, \quad (3.2)$$

where $H_n$ is defined in (2.6), and $\Delta_n'$ is the Hankel determinant $\Delta_{n+1}$ with the penultimate column and the last row removed. The corresponding determinant $H'_n$ is given by

$$H'_n = \begin{vmatrix} P_0^\lambda(\zeta) & P_1^\lambda(\zeta) & \cdots & P_{n-2}^\lambda(\zeta) & P_{n-1}^\lambda(\zeta) \\ P_1^\lambda(\zeta) & P_2^\lambda(\zeta) & \cdots & P_{n-3}^\lambda(\zeta) & P_{n-2}^\lambda(\zeta) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ P_{n-1}^\lambda(\zeta) & P_n^\lambda(\zeta) & \cdots & P_{2n-3}^\lambda(\zeta) & P_{2n-2}^\lambda(\zeta) \end{vmatrix}. \quad (3.3)$$

Although $\beta_0$ can be arbitrary, as usual it is convenient to take $\beta_0 = \mu_0 = AJ_\lambda(\zeta)$.

In this case, however, the values of Hankel determinants cannot be found easily, but, it is clear that the recursion coefficients are rational functions in $\zeta$. Using our software package [9] we can generate coefficients even in symbolic form for some reasonable small values of $n$ (for $n \leq 2$ see Table 3.1).

Increasing $n$, the complexity of expressions for $\alpha_n$ and $\beta_n$ increases quite rapidly. On the other side, using the Chebyshev algorithm, similarly as in [2], a numerical construction of recursion coefficients can be done. In Table 3.2 we give numerical values of $\alpha_n$ and $\beta_n$, $n \leq 14$, when $\lambda = 1$ and $\zeta = 8.653727912911012$ (a zero of $J_0(z)$). Numbers in parentheses indicate decimal exponents.

According to a very extensive numerical calculations we can state the following conjecture.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>$\alpha_n$</th>
<th>$\beta_n$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>$\frac{2\lambda}{\zeta}$</td>
<td>$(\frac{2}{\pi})^{\lambda} \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\lambda + \frac{1}{2}) J_\lambda(\zeta)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$\frac{4\lambda(1+\lambda)-\zeta^2}{(2\lambda-\zeta^2)}$</td>
<td>$\frac{\zeta^2-2\lambda}{\zeta^2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$\frac{(3+\lambda)(\zeta^2-4\lambda(4+\lambda)/(3+2\lambda))\zeta^2-(3+2\lambda)(3+\lambda)(\zeta^2-2\lambda^2(2+\lambda))}{2\zeta(2\lambda-\zeta^2)(\zeta^2-4\lambda(3+2\lambda)(\zeta^2+4\lambda^2))}$</td>
<td>$\frac{2(1+2\lambda)(\zeta^2-\lambda(5+2\lambda)(\zeta^2+4\lambda^2))}{\zeta^2(-2\lambda+\zeta^2)^2}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.1. Recursion coefficients $\alpha_n$ and $\beta_n$ for $n \leq 2$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>$\alpha_n$</th>
<th>$\beta_n$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.31114268917081</td>
<td>9.8546251063405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.06044563737380</td>
<td>9.72930973514553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.651132178528472</td>
<td>-6.76324827028832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-6.29509309649605</td>
<td>9.65084647774708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.932207097354234</td>
<td>-1.50627557363140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-8.60588996725327</td>
<td>2.18776221402946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.664110881321379</td>
<td>-1.58897701475284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-4.402172898193667</td>
<td>2.58265894723771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.654190622696254</td>
<td>2.49445502596602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-1.308066174957451</td>
<td>2.50030191257487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>5.34670353604267</td>
<td>2.49908467791256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-1.839775190533208</td>
<td>2.50000056256831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>5.39728683729530</td>
<td>2.4999998397877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-1.365470127894177</td>
<td>2.5000000437017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>3.007166162157992</td>
<td>2.4999999989697</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.2. Recursion coefficients $\alpha_n$ and $\beta_n$ for $0 \leq n \leq 14$, $\lambda = 1$, $\zeta \approx 8.653727912911012$

**Conjecture 3.1.** For the recursion coefficients the following asymptotic relations are true

$$\alpha_n \to 0, \quad \beta_n \to \frac{1}{4}, \quad \text{as } n \to +\infty.$$

Notice that for $\lambda = 0$, from the result given in [4], we have $\alpha_n \to 0$ and $\beta_n \to 1/4$, as $n \to +\infty$.

According to $\overline{\pi}_k = (-1)^k \mu_k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ (see (2.2)) we can prove:

**Lemma 3.1.** If the sequence of monic orthogonal polynomials $\{\pi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ exists, then $\pi_n(z) = (-1)^n \overline{\pi}_n(-\overline{z})$ and the coefficients $\alpha_n$ and $\beta_n$ are real.

Using the Pearson equation for the “weight” $(1 - x^2)^{\lambda-1/2} e^{i\zeta x}$, several interesting properties of $\pi_n$ can be done. Because of the limited space, it will be given elsewhere. In the next section we mention only certain remarks on the corresponding Gaussian quadrature rule.

### 4. GAUSSIAN QUADRATURE RULE

Suppose that the polynomials $\pi_n$, orthogonal with respect to the functional (2.1), exist. Their zeros $x_k^{(n)}$, $k = 1, \ldots, n$, according to Lemma 3.1, are distributed symmetrically with respect to the imaginary axis. The corresponding quadrature of Gaussian type,

$$\int_{-1}^{1} f(x)(1-x^2)^{\lambda-1/2} e^{i\zeta x} \, dx = \sum_{k=1}^{n} w_k^{(n)} f(x_k^{(n)}) + R_n(f),$$

where $R_n(f) = 0$ for each polynomial of degree at most $2n-1$, can be considered as a quadrature for highly oscillating integrals.

For the construction of the Gaussian quadrature rules once we computed three-term recurrence coefficients we used software package OrthogonalPolynomials (see [9]). Using functions implemented there in extended arithmetics we are able to construct Gaussian rules. Table 4.1 holds constructed Gaussian rule for $\lambda = 1/4$ and $\zeta \approx 57.72405855079898$ (a zero of $J_{-3/4}(\zeta)$).
Table 4.1. Nodes and weights of the Gaussian quadrature rule with 
\( n = 10 \) nodes for \( \lambda = 1/4 \) and \( \zeta \approx 57.72405855079898 \)
\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}
\hline
\text{nodes} & \text{weights} \\
\hline
\pm0.9997482190034730 & 2.90895625510432(2) \pm 11.19722553336939(2) \\
\pm0.9983271285763714 & 1.71396615250162(6) \pm 17.35538617155366(5) \\
\pm0.9954829078273545 & 2.857288658737018(6) \pm 16.12182805061846(4) \\
\pm0.990810300221749 & 1.167827067290065(4) \pm 16.18982087105140(5) \\
\pm0.9831915502178804 & 4.95250780075357(5) \pm 16.75354661041032(4) \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

We apply our Gaussian quadrature formula (4.1) to the integral

\[
I(\zeta) = \text{Im} \left\{ \int_1^1 \frac{1}{(1-x^2)^{1/4}} e^{i\zeta x} \, dx \right\} \approx G_n(\zeta) = \text{Im} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{u_k^{(n)}}{x_k^{(n)} - i} \right\},
\]

for \( \zeta \in \{ \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3 \}, \) where \( \zeta_1 \approx 4.284053812724698, \zeta_2 \approx 57.72405855079898 \) and \( \zeta_3 \approx 10000.86749799776 \) (zeros of \( J_{-3/4}(z) \)). Note here that \( \lambda = 1/4 \). Then, the exact values of \( I(\zeta) \) are

\[
I(\zeta_1) = -0.227045567348676223160759854108844159106362541608\ldots, \\
I(\zeta_2) = 0.04837630980933288349923692607158015142775366576\ldots, \\
I(\zeta_3) = -0.001030355706214539678141569677416629012626586968\ldots.
\]

Their integrands are displayed in Fig. 4.1.

![Figure 4.1. Integrand of \( I(\zeta) \) for \( \zeta = \zeta_1 \) (dashed line) and \( \zeta = \zeta_2 \) (solid line) (left figure) and \( \zeta = \zeta_3 \) (right figure)]](image)

In Table 4.2 the relative errors in Gaussian approximations, \( r_n = |(G_n(\zeta_\nu) - I(\zeta_\nu))/I(\zeta_\nu)|, \)
\( \nu = 1, 2, 3, \) for some selected number of nodes \( n \) are given. In numerical construction we use our software package [9].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \zeta )</th>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>( r_n )</th>
<th>( r_n^{G} )</th>
<th>( \zeta )</th>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>( r_n )</th>
<th>( r_n^{G} )</th>
<th>( \zeta )</th>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>( r_n )</th>
<th>( r_n^{G} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \zeta_1 )</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.35(2)</td>
<td>2.15(3)</td>
<td>3.55(7)</td>
<td>1.93(1)</td>
<td>2.63(16)</td>
<td>3.09(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.82(4)</td>
<td>2.50(6)</td>
<td>1.32(9)</td>
<td>1.86(1)</td>
<td>2.17(23)</td>
<td>1.76(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.93(8)</td>
<td>4.51(9)</td>
<td>6.41(14)</td>
<td>9.11</td>
<td>7.77(35)</td>
<td>3.87(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.88(10)</td>
<td>6.73(15)</td>
<td>5.45(17)</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>1.28(49)</td>
<td>1.20(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>4.29(14)</td>
<td>1.00(16)</td>
<td>1.71(21)</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>6.16(68)</td>
<td>8.81(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>6.39(18)</td>
<td>1.49(20)</td>
<td>3.86(24)</td>
<td>7.06</td>
<td>1.05(79)</td>
<td>2.86(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>9.50(22)</td>
<td>2.22(24)</td>
<td>9.40(24)</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>7.52(97)</td>
<td>2.40(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In order to compare these results we also apply the corresponding Gauss-Gegenbauer quadrature formula with respect to the weight function \( x \mapsto (1-x^2)^{-1/4} \) and give its relative errors \( r_n^G \). As we can see the Gauss-Gegenbauer quadrature is faster for small \( \zeta \), but when \( \zeta \) increases our formula is much faster. Because of a highly oscillatory integrand the Gauss-Gegenbauer quadrature becomes unsuitable.
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