

MOMENT-PRESERVING SPLINE  
APPROXIMATION AND QUADRATURES\*

Gradimir V. Milovanović and Milan A. Kovačević

**Abstract.** In this survey we discuss the problem of approximating a function  $f$  by a spline function of degree  $m$  and defect  $d$ , with  $n$  (variable) knots, matching as many of the initial moments of  $f$  as possible. The problem is connected with Gauss-Turán type of quadrature rules.

1. Introduction

Following earlier work of Laframboise and Stauffer [12] and Calder and Laframboise [1], Gautschi [7] considered the problem of approximating a spherically symmetric function  $t \mapsto f(t)$ ,  $t = \|\mathbf{x}\|$ ,  $0 \leq t < \infty$ , in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $d \geq 1$ , by a piecewise constant function

$$t \mapsto s_n(t) = \sum_{\nu=1}^n a_\nu H(\tau_\nu - t) \quad (a_\nu \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < \tau_1 < \dots < \tau_n < +\infty),$$

where  $H$  is the Heaviside step function. Also, he considered an approximation by a linear combination of Dirac delta functions. The approximation was to preserve as many moments of  $f$  as possible. This work was extended to spline approximation of arbitrary degree by Gautschi and Milovanović [9]. Namely, they considered a spline function of degree  $m \geq 0$  on  $[0, +\infty)$ , vanishing at  $t = +\infty$ , with  $n \geq 1$  positive knots  $\tau_\nu$  ( $\nu = 1, \dots, n$ ), which can be written in the form

$$(1.1) \quad s_{n,m}(t) = \sum_{\nu=1}^n a_\nu (\tau_\nu - t)_+^m \quad (a_\nu \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq t < +\infty),$$

---

Received January 18, 1992.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 41A15, 65D32; Secondary 33C45.

\* Work supported, in part, by the Science Fund of Serbia under grant 0401F.

where the plus sign on the right is the cutoff symbol,  $u_+ = u$  if  $u > 0$  and  $u_+ = 0$  if  $u \leq 0$ . Given a function  $t \mapsto f(t)$  on  $[0, +\infty)$ , they determined  $s_{n,m}$  such that

$$(1.2) \quad \int_0^{+\infty} s_{n,m}(t)t^j dV = \int_0^{+\infty} f(t)t^j dV \quad (j = 0, 1, \dots, 2n-1),$$

where  $dV$  is the volume element depending on the geometry of the problem. (For example,  $dV = Ct^{d-1} dt$  if  $d > 1$ , where  $C$  is some constant, and  $dV = dt$  if  $d = 1$  were used in [9]. For some details see Gautschi [8].) In any case, the spline  $s_{n,m}$  is such to faithfully reproduce the first  $2n$  moments of  $f$ . Under suitable assumptions on  $f$ , it was shown that the problem has a unique solution if and only if certain Gauss-Christoffel quadratures exist corresponding to a moment functional or weight distribution depending on  $f$ . Existence, uniqueness and pointwise convergence of such approximation were analyzed. We mention two main results (Gautschi and Milovanović [9]) in the case when  $dV = dt$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $f \in C^{m+1}[0, +\infty]$  and*

$$(1.3) \quad \int_0^{+\infty} t^{2n+m+1} |f^{(m+1)}(t)| dt < +\infty.$$

*Then a spline function  $s_{n,m}$  of the form (1.1) with positive knots  $\tau_\nu$ , that satisfies (1.2), exists and is unique if and only if the measure*

$$(1.4) \quad d\lambda(t) = \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{m!} t^{m+1} f^{(m+1)}(t) dt \quad \text{on } [0, +\infty)$$

*admits an  $n$ -point Gauss-Christoffel quadrature formula*

$$(1.5) \quad \int_0^{+\infty} g(t) d\lambda(t) = \sum_{\nu=1}^n \lambda_\nu^{(n)} g(\tau_\nu^{(n)}) + R_n(g; d\lambda),$$

*with distinct positive nodes  $\tau_\nu^{(n)}$ , where  $R_n(g; d\lambda) = 0$  for all  $g \in \mathcal{P}_{2n-1}$ . In that event, the knots  $\tau_\nu$  and weights  $a_\nu$  in (1.1) are given by*

$$(1.6) \quad \tau_\nu = \tau_\nu^{(n)}, \quad a_\nu = \tau_\nu^{-(m+1)} \lambda_\nu^{(n)} \quad (\nu = 1, \dots, n).$$

**Theorem 1.2.** *Given  $f$  as in Theorem 1.2, assume that the measure  $d\lambda$  in (1.4) admits the  $n$ -point Gauss-Christoffel quadrature formula (1.5) with distinct positive nodes  $\tau_\nu = \tau_\nu^{(n)}$  and the remainder term  $R_n(g; d\lambda)$ . Define*

$$\sigma_t(x) = x^{-(m+1)}(x-t)_+^m.$$

*Then, for any  $t > 0$ , we have for the error of the spline approximation (1.1), (1.2),*

$$(1.7) \quad f(t) - s_{n,m}(t) = R_n(\sigma_t; d\lambda).$$

Substituting (1.1) in (1.2) yields, since  $\tau_\nu > 0$ ,

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^n a_\nu \int_0^{\tau_\nu} t^j (\tau_\nu - t)^m dt = \int_0^{+\infty} t^j f(t) dt \quad (j = 0, 1, \dots, 2n-1),$$

i.e.,

$$(1.8) \quad \sum_{\nu=1}^n (a_\nu \tau_\nu^{m+1}) \tau_\nu^j = \mu_j \quad (j = 0, 1, \dots, 2n-1),$$

where

$$(1.9) \quad \mu_j = \frac{(j+m+1)!}{m!j!} \int_0^{+\infty} t^j f(t) dt \quad (j = 0, 1, \dots).$$

For the proof of Theorem 1.1 we suppose that  $j \leq 2n-1$ . Because of (1.3), the integral  $\int_0^{+\infty} t^{j+m+2} f^{(m+1)}(t) dt$  exists and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} t^{j+m+2} f^{(m+1)}(t) = 0$ . Then, L'Hospital's rule implies

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} t^{j+m+1} f^{(m)}(t) = 0.$$

Continuing in this manner, we find that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} t^{j+k+1} f^{(k)}(t) = 0 \quad (k = m, m-1, \dots, 0).$$

Under these conditions we can prove that (see [9])

$$\int_0^{+\infty} t^j f(t) dt = \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{(j+1)(j+2) \cdots (j+m+1)} \int_0^{+\infty} t^{j+m+1} f^{(m+1)}(t) dt.$$

Therefore, the moments  $\mu_j$ , defined by (1.9), exist and

$$\mu_j = \int_0^{+\infty} t^j d\lambda(t) \quad (j = 0, 1, \dots, 2n - 1),$$

where  $d\lambda(t)$  is given by (1.4). Hence, we conclude that Eqs. (1.2) are equivalent to Eqs. (1.8), which are precisely the conditions for  $\tau_\nu$  to be the nodes of the Gauss-Christoffel formula (1.5) and  $a_\nu \tau_\nu^{m+1}$  their weights.

The nodes  $\tau_\nu^{(n)}$ , being the zeros of the orthogonal polynomial  $\pi_n(\cdot; d\lambda)$  (if it exists), are uniquely determined, hence also the weights  $\lambda_\nu^{(n)}$ .

For example, if  $f$  is completely monotonic on  $[0, +\infty)$  then  $d\lambda(t)$  in (1.4) is a positive measure for every  $m$ . Also, the first  $2n$  moments exist by virtue of the assumptions in Theorem 1.1. Then the Gauss-Christoffel quadrature formula exists uniquely, with  $n$  distinct and positive nodes  $\tau_\nu^{(n)}$ .

Using Taylor's formula "at  $+\infty$ ", we find that

$$(1.10) \quad f(t) = \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{m!} \int_t^{+\infty} (x-t)^m f^{(m+1)}(x) dx = \int_0^{+\infty} \sigma_t(x) d\lambda(x).$$

On the other hand, Theorem 1.1 gives

$$(1.11) \quad s_{n,m}(t) = \sum_{\nu=1}^n \lambda_\nu \tau_\nu^{-(m+1)} (\tau_\nu - t)_+^m = \sum_{\nu=1}^n \lambda_\nu \sigma_t(t_\nu).$$

Subtracting (1.11) from (1.10) yields (1.7).

Theorem 1.2 shows that  $s_{n,m}$  converges pointwise to  $f$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  if the Gauss-Christoffel quadrature formula (1.5) converges for the particular function  $x \mapsto g(x) = \sigma_t(x)$  ( $x > 0$ ).

## 2. Approximation by Defective Splines

A spline function of degree  $m \geq 2$  and defect  $k$  on the interval  $0 \leq t < +\infty$ , vanishing at  $t = +\infty$ , with  $n \geq 1$  positive knots  $\tau_\nu$  ( $\nu = 1, \dots, n$ ), can be written in the form

$$(2.1) \quad s_{n,m}(t) = \sum_{\nu=1}^n \sum_{i=m-k+1}^m a_{i,\nu} (\tau_\nu - t)_+^i$$

where  $a_{i,\nu}$  are real numbers.

As in Section 1 we consider moment-preserving approximation of a given function  $t \mapsto f(t)$  on  $[0, +\infty)$  by the defective spline  $s_{n,m}$ , defined by (2.1). Under suitable assumptions on  $f$  and  $k = 2s + 1$ , Milovanović and Kovačević [15] showed that the problem has a unique solution if and only if certain generalized Turán quadratures exist corresponding to a measure depending on  $f$ .

The generalized Gauss-Turán quadrature

$$(2.2) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(t) d\lambda(t) = \sum_{\nu=1}^n \sum_{i=0}^{2s} A_{i,\nu}^G g^{(i)}(\tau_{\nu}^{(n)}) + R_n^G(g; d\lambda),$$

where  $d\lambda(t)$  is a nonnegative measure on the real line  $\mathbb{R}$ , with compact or infinite support, for which all moments  $\mu_{\nu} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^{\nu} d\lambda(t)$ ,  $\nu = 0, 1, \dots$ , exist and are finite, and  $\mu_0 > 0$ . The formula (2.2) is exact for all polynomials of degree at most  $2(s+1)n - 1$ , i.e.,

$$R_n^G(g; d\lambda) = 0 \quad \text{for } g \in \mathcal{P}_{2(s+1)n-1}.$$

The knots  $\tau_{\nu}^{(n)}$  ( $\nu = 1, \dots, n$ ) in (2.2) are zeros of a (monic) polynomial  $\pi_n(t)$ , which minimizes the following integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \pi_n(t)^{2s+2} d\lambda(t),$$

where  $\pi_n(t) = t^n + a_{n-1}t^{n-1} + \dots + a_1t + a_0$ . In the other words, the polynomial  $\pi_n$  satisfies the following generalized orthogonality conditions

$$(2.3) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \pi_n(t)^{2s+1} t^i d\lambda(t) = 0, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1.$$

This polynomial  $\pi_n$  is known as  $s$ -orthogonal (or  $s$ -self associated) polynomial with respect to the measure  $d\lambda(t)$ . For  $s = 0$ , we have the standard case of orthogonal polynomials, and (2.3) then becomes well-known Gauss-Christoffel formula.

The “orthogonality condition” (2.3) can be interpreted as (see Milovanović [14])

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \pi_{\nu}^{s,n}(t) t^i d\mu(t) = 0, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, \nu-1,$$

where  $\{\pi_{\nu}^{s,n}\}$  is a sequence of standard monic polynomials orthogonal on  $\mathbb{R}$  with respect to the new measure  $d\mu(t) = d\mu^{s,n}(t) = (\pi_n^{s,n}(t))^{2s} d\lambda(t)$ . The

polynomials  $\{\pi_\nu^{s,n}\}$ ,  $\nu = 0, 1, \dots$ , are implicitly defined because the measure  $d\mu(t)$  depends on  $\pi_n^{s,n}(t)$  ( $= \pi_n(t)$ ). We will write only  $\pi_\nu$  instead of  $\pi_\nu^{s,n}(\cdot)$ . These polynomials satisfy a three-term recurrence relation

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{\nu+1}(t) &= (t - \alpha_\nu)\pi_\nu(t) - \beta_\nu\pi_{\nu-1}(t), \quad \nu = 0, 1, \dots, \\ \pi_{-1}(t) &= 0, \quad \pi_0(t) = 1,\end{aligned}$$

where, because of orthogonality,

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_\nu &= \alpha_\nu(s, n) = \frac{(t\pi_\nu, \pi_\nu)}{(\pi_\nu, \pi_\nu)} = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} t\pi_\nu^2(t) d\mu(t)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \pi_\nu^2(t) d\mu(t)}, \\ \beta_\nu &= \beta_\nu(s, n) = \frac{(\pi_\nu, \pi_\nu)}{(\pi_{\nu-1}, \pi_{\nu-1})} = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} t\pi_\nu^2(t) d\mu(t)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \pi_{\nu-1}^2(t) d\mu(t)},\end{aligned}$$

and, for example,  $\beta_0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\mu(t)$ .

Finding the coefficients  $\alpha_\nu$ ,  $\beta_\nu$  ( $\nu = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ ) gives us access to the first  $n+1$  orthogonal polynomials  $\pi_0, \pi_1, \dots, \pi_n$ . Of course, we are interested only in the last of them, i.e.,  $\pi_n \equiv \pi_n^{s,n}$ . Thus, for  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ , the diagonal (boxed) elements in Table 2.1 are our  $s$ -orthogonal polynomials  $\pi_n^{s,n}$ .

TABLE 2.1

$n$	$d\mu^{s,n}(t)$	Orthogonal Polynomials			
0	$(\pi_0^{s,0}(t))^{2s} d\lambda(t)$	$\pi_0^{s,0}$			
1	$(\pi_1^{s,1}(t))^{2s} d\lambda(t)$	$\pi_0^{s,1}$	$\pi_1^{s,1}$		
2	$(\pi_2^{s,2}(t))^{2s} d\lambda(t)$	$\pi_0^{s,2}$	$\pi_1^{s,2}$	$\pi_2^{s,2}$	
3	$(\pi_3^{s,3}(t))^{2s} d\lambda(t)$	$\pi_0^{s,3}$	$\pi_1^{s,3}$	$\pi_2^{s,3}$	$\pi_3^{s,3}$
$\vdots$					

A stable algorithm for constructing such ( $s$ -orthogonal) polynomials was found by Milovanović [14].

Using the similar method as in Section 1, we can prove (see Milovanović and Kovačević [15]) the following result:

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $f \in C^{m+1}[0, +\infty]$  and*

$$\int_0^{+\infty} t^{2(s+1)n+m+1} |f^{(m+1)}(t)| dt < +\infty.$$

*Then a spline function  $s_{n,m}$  of the form (2.1) with  $k = 2s + 1$  and positive knots  $\tau_\nu$ , that satisfies (1.2), with  $j = 0, 1, \dots, 2(s+1)n - 1$ , exists and is unique if and only if the measure*

$$d\lambda(t) = \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{m!} t^{m+1} f^{(m+1)}(t) dt \quad \text{on } [0, +\infty)$$

*admits a generalized Gauss-Turán quadrature formula*

$$(2.4) \quad \int_0^{+\infty} g(t) d\lambda(t) = \sum_{\nu=1}^n \sum_{i=0}^{2s} A_{i,\nu}^{(n)} g^{(i)}(\tau_\nu^{(n)}) + R_n^G(g; d\lambda),$$

*with distinct positive nodes  $\tau_\nu^{(n)}$ , where  $R_n^G(g; d\lambda) = 0$  for all  $g \in \mathcal{P}_{2(s+1)n-1}$ . The knots  $\tau_\nu$  in (2.1) are given by  $\tau_\nu = \tau_\nu^{(n)}$ , and coefficients  $a_{i,\nu}$  by the following triangular system*

$$A_{i,\nu}^{(n)} = \sum_{j=i}^{2s} \frac{(m-j)!}{m!} \binom{j}{i} [D^{j-i} t^{m+1}]_{t=\tau_\nu} a_{m-j,\nu} \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, 2s),$$

*where  $D$  is the standard differentiation operator.*

**Theorem 2.2.** *Given  $f$  as in Theorem 2.1, assume that the measure  $d\lambda(t)$  admits the  $n$ -point generalized Gauss-Turán quadrature formula (2.4) with distinct positive nodes  $\tau_\nu = \tau_\nu^{(n)}$  and the remainder term  $R_n^G(g; d\lambda)$ . Then the error of the spline approximation is given by*

$$f(t) - s_{n,m}(t) = R_n^G(\sigma_t; d\lambda). \quad (t > 0),$$

*where  $x \mapsto \sigma_t(x) = x^{-(m+1)}(x-t)_+^m$ .*

Again, if  $f$  is completely monotonic on  $[0, +\infty)$  then  $d\lambda(t)$  is a positive measure for every  $m$ . Also, the first  $2(s+1)n$  moments exist by virtue of the assumptions in Theorem 2.1. Then the generalized Gauss-Turán quadrature formula exists uniquely, with  $n$  distinct and positive nodes  $\tau_\nu^{(n)}$ .

In the special case when  $s = 1$ , the coefficients of the spline function  $s_{n,m}$  are

$$\begin{aligned} a_{m-2,\nu} &= m(m-1)A_{2,\nu}^{(n)}\tau_\nu^{-(m+1)}, \\ a_{m-1,\nu} &= m(A_{1,\nu}^{(n)}\tau_\nu - 2(m+1)A_{2,\nu}^{(n)})\tau_\nu^{-(m+2)}, \\ a_{m,\nu} &= ((m+1)(m+2)A_{2,\nu}^{(n)} - (m+1)A_{1,\nu}^{(n)}\tau_\nu + A_{0,\nu}^{(n)}\tau_\nu^2)\tau_\nu^{-(m+3)}. \end{aligned}$$

### 3. Spline Approximation on Finite Intervals

Frontini, Gautschi and Milovanović [2] and Frontini and Milovanović [3] considered analogous problems on an arbitrary finite interval, which can be standardized to  $[a, b] = [0, 1]$ . If the approximations exist, they can be represented in terms of generalized Gauss-Lobatto and Gauss-Radau quadrature formulas relative to appropriate measures depending on  $f$ , when the defect  $k = 1$ . Using defective splines with odd defect  $k = 2s + 1$ , approximation problems reduce to certain generalized Turán-Lobatto and Turán-Radau quadrature formulas.

A spline function of degree  $m \geq 2$  and defect  $k = 2s + 1$ , with  $n$  distinct knots  $\tau_\nu$  ( $\nu = 1, \dots, n$ ) in  $(0, 1)$ , can be written in the form

$$(3.1) \quad s_{n,m}(t) = p_m(t) + \sum_{\nu=1}^n \sum_{i=m-2s}^m a_{i,\nu} (\tau_\nu - t)_+^i \quad (0 \leq t \leq 1),$$

where  $a_{i,\nu}$  are real numbers and  $t \mapsto p_m(t)$  is a polynomial of degree  $\leq m$ . Evidently, for  $t \geq 1$  we have  $s_{n,m}(t) \equiv p_m(t)$ .

There are two interesting approximation problems:

*Problem I.* Determine  $s_{n,m}$  in (3.1) such that

$$(3.2) \quad \int_0^1 t^j s_{n,m}(t) dt = \int_0^1 t^j f(t) dt, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, 2(s+1)n + m.$$

*Problem I\*.* Determine  $s_{n,m}$  in (3.1) such that

$$(3.3) \quad s_{n,m}^{(k)}(1) = p_m^{(k)}(1) = f^{(k)}(1), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, m,$$

and such that (3.2) holds for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, 2(s+1)n - 1$ .

The both problems can be reduced to the  $s$ -orthogonality and generalized Gauss-Turán quadratures by restricting the class of functions  $f$  as before.

Putting

$$(3.4) \quad \phi_k = \frac{(-1)^k}{m!} f^{(k)}(1), \quad b_k = \frac{(-1)^k}{m!} p_m^{(k)}(1) \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots, m)$$



and applying  $m + 1$  integration by parts to the integrals in the moment equation (3.2), we obtain after much calculations

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^m b_k [D^{m-k} t^{m+1+j}]_{t=1} + \sum_{\nu=1}^n \sum_{k=0}^{2s} \frac{(m-k)!}{m!} a_{m-k,\nu} [D^k (t^{m+1+j})]_{t=\tau_\nu} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^m \phi_k [D^{m-k} t^{m+1+j}]_{t=1} + \int_0^1 t^{m+1+j} d\lambda(t), \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad j = 0, 1, \dots, 2(s+1)n + m, \end{aligned}$$

where the measure  $d\lambda(t)$  is defined again by

$$(3.6) \quad d\lambda(t) = \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{m!} f^{(m+1)}(t) dt \quad \text{on } [0, 1].$$

The main result for *Problem I* can be stated in the form:

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $f \in C^{m+1}[0, 1]$ . There exists a unique spline function (3.1) on  $[0, 1]$ , with  $d = 2s + 1$ , satisfying (3.2) if and only if the measure  $d\lambda(t)$  in (3.6) admits a generalized Gauss-Lobatto-Turán quadrature*

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^1 g(t) d\lambda(t) &= \sum_{k=0}^m [\alpha_k g^{(k)}(0) + \beta_k g^{(k)}(1)] \\ &+ \sum_{\nu=1}^n \sum_{i=0}^{2s} A_{i,\nu}^L g^{(i)}(\tau_\nu^{(n)}) + R_{n,m}^L(g; d\lambda), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(3.8) \quad R_{n,m}^L(g; d\lambda) = 0 \quad \text{for all } g \in \mathcal{P}_{2(s+1)n+2m+1},$$

with distinct real zeros  $\tau_\nu^{(n)}$  ( $\nu = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) all contained in the open interval  $(0, 1)$ . The spline function in (3.1) is given by

$$(3.9) \quad \tau_\nu = \tau_\nu^{(n)}, \quad a_{m-k,\nu} = \frac{m!}{(m-k)!} A_{k,\nu}^L \quad (\nu = 1, \dots, n; k = 0, 1, \dots, 2s),$$

where  $\tau_\nu^{(n)}$  are the interior nodes of the generalized Gauss-Lobatto-Turán quadrature formula and  $A_{k,\nu}^L$  are the corresponding weights, while the polynomial  $p_m(t)$  is given by

$$(3.10) \quad p_m^{(k)}(1) = f^{(k)}(1) + (-1)^k m! \beta_{m-k} \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots, m),$$

where  $\beta_{m-k}$  is the coefficient of  $g^{(m-k)}(1)$  in (3.7).

The solution of *Problem I\** can be given in a similar way:

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $f \in C^{m+1}[0, 1]$ . There exists a unique spline function on  $[0, 1]$ ,*

$$(3.11) \quad s_{n,m}^*(t) = p_m^*(t) + \sum_{\nu=1}^n \sum_{i=m-2s}^m a_{i,\nu}^* (\tau_\nu^* - t)_+^i,$$

$$0 < \tau_\nu^* < 1, \quad \tau_\nu^* \neq \tau_\mu^* \quad \text{for } \nu \neq \mu,$$

satisfying (3.3) and (3.2), for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, 2(s+1)n - 1$ , if and only if the measure  $d\lambda(t)$  in (3.6) admits a generalized Gauss-Radau-Turán quadrature

$$(3.12) \quad \int_0^1 g(t) d\lambda(t) = \sum_{k=0}^m \alpha_k^* g^{(k)}(0) + \sum_{\nu=1}^n \sum_{i=0}^{2s} A_{i,\nu}^R g^{(i)}(\tau_\nu^{(n)*}) + R_{n,m}^R(g; d\lambda),$$

where

$$R_{n,m}^R(g; d\lambda) = 0 \quad \text{for all } g \in \mathcal{P}_{2(s+1)n+m},$$

with distinct real zeros  $\tau_\nu^{(n)*}$ ,  $\nu = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , all contained in the open interval  $(0, 1)$ . The knots  $\tau_\nu^*$  in (3.11) are then precisely these zeros,

$$(3.13) \quad \tau_\nu^* = \tau_\nu^{(n)*} \quad (\nu = 1, \dots, n)$$

and

$$(3.14) \quad a_{m-k,\nu}^* = \frac{m!}{(m-k)!} A_{k,\nu}^R \quad (\nu = 1, 2, \dots, n; k = 0, 1, \dots, 2s),$$

while the polynomial  $t \mapsto p_m^*(t)$  is given by

$$(3.15) \quad p_m^*(t) = \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{f^{(k)}(1)}{k!} (t-1)^k.$$

The following statement gives the error of spline approximations:

**Theorem 3.3.** *Define*

$$\rho_t(x) = (x-t)_+^m, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1.$$

*Under conditions of Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2, we have*

$$f(t) - s_{n,m}(t) = R_{n,m}^L(\rho_t; d\lambda) \quad (0 < t < 1)$$

and

$$f(t) - s_{n,m}^*(t) = R_{n,m}^R(\rho_t; d\lambda) \quad (0 < t < 1),$$

respectively, where  $R_{n,m}^L(g; d\lambda)$  and  $R_{n,m}^R(g; d\lambda)$  are the remainder terms in the corresponding Gauss-Turán formulas of Lobatto and Radau type.

For proofs of Theorems 3.1–3.3, we refer to [3]. The case  $s = 0$  of these results has been obtained by Frontini, Gautschi and Milovanović [2]. A more general case with variable defects was considered by Gori and Santi [10]. In that case, approximation problems reduce to Gauss-Turán-Stancu type of quadratures and  $\sigma$ -orthogonal polynomials (cf. Gautschi [4], Gori, Lo Cascio and Milovanović [11]).

Further extensions of the moment-preserving spline approximation on  $[0, 1]$  are given by Micchelli [13]. He relates this approximation to the theory of the monosplines.

#### 4. Construction of Spline Approximation on $[0, 1]$

Firstly, we mention two auxiliary results, which give a connection between the generalized Gauss-Turán quadrature and the corresponding formulas of Lobatto and Radau type (see [3]):

**Lemma 4.1.** *If the measure  $d\lambda(t)$  in (3.6) admits the generalized Gauss-Lobatto-Turán quadrature (3.7), with distinct real zeros  $\tau_\nu = \tau_\nu^{(n)}$  ( $\nu = 1, \dots, n$ ) all contained in the open interval  $(0, 1)$ , there exists then a generalized Gauss-Turán formula*

$$(4.1) \quad \int_0^1 g(t) d\sigma(t) = \sum_{\nu=1}^n \sum_{i=0}^{2s} A_{i,\nu}^G g^{(i)}(\tau_\nu^{(n)}) + R_n^G(g),$$

where  $d\sigma(t) = [t(1-t)]^{m+1} d\lambda(t)$ , the nodes  $\tau_\nu^{(n)}$  are the zeros of  $s$ -orthogonal polynomial  $\pi_n(\cdot; d\sigma)$ , while the weights  $A_{i,\nu}^G$  are expressible in terms of those in (3.7) by

$$(4.2) \quad A_{i,\nu}^G = \sum_{k=i}^{2s} \binom{k}{i} \left[ D^{k-i} (t(1-t))^{m+1} \right]_{t=\tau_\nu} A_{k,\nu}^L \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, 2s).$$

**Lemma 4.2.** *If the measure  $d\lambda(t)$  in (3.6) admits the generalized Gauss-Radau-Turán quadrature (3.12), with distinct real zeros  $\tau_\nu = \tau_\nu^{(n)*}$  ( $\nu = 1, \dots, n$ ) all contained in the open interval  $(0, 1)$ , there exists then a generalized Gauss-Turán formula (4.1), where  $d\sigma(t) = d\sigma^*(t) = t^{m+1}d\lambda(t)$ , the nodes  $\tau_\nu^{(n)*}$  are the zeros of  $s$ -orthogonal polynomial  $\pi_n(\cdot; d\sigma^*)$ , while the weights  $A_{i,\nu}^G$  are expressible in terms of those in (3.12) by*

$$(4.3) \quad A_{i,\nu}^G = \sum_{k=i}^{2s} \binom{k}{i} [D^{k-i}t^{m+1}]_{t=\tau_\nu} A_{k,\nu}^R \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, 2s).$$

A construction procedure of our spline approximations can be stated in the form (see [3]):

1° For a given  $t \mapsto f(t)$  and  $(n, m, s)$ , we find the measure  $d\lambda(t)$  and the corresponding Jacobi matrix  $J_N(d\lambda)$ , where  $N = (s+1)n + 2m + 2$  in the Lobatto case, and  $N = (s+1)n + m + 1$  in the Radau case. The latter can be computed by the discretized Stieltjes procedure (see [5, § 2.2]).

2° By repeated application of the algorithms in [6, § 4.1] corresponding to multiplication of a measure by  $t(1-t)$  and  $t$ , from the above Jacobi matrices, we generate the Jacobi matrices  $J_{(s+1)n}(d\sigma)$  and  $J_{(s+1)n}(d\sigma^*)$ , respectively. Here,  $d\sigma(t) = (t(1-t))^{m+1}d\lambda(t)$  and  $d\sigma^*(t) = t^{m+1}d\lambda(t)$ .

3° Using the algorithm for the construction of  $s$ -orthogonal polynomials, given in [14], we obtain the Jacobi matrix  $J_n(d\mu)$ , where  $d\mu(t) = (\pi_n(t))^{2s}d\sigma(t)$ , or  $d\mu(t) = (\pi_n(t))^{2s}d\sigma^*(t)$ .

4° From  $J_n(d\mu)$  we determine the Gaussian nodes  $\tau_\nu^{(n)}$  (resp.  $\tau_\nu^{(n)*}$  in the Radau case) and the corresponding weights  $A_{i,\nu}^G$  ( $\nu = 1, \dots, n; i = 0, 1, \dots, 2s$ ).

5° From the triangular systems of linear equations (4.2) and (4.3), we find the coefficients  $A_{k,\nu}^L$  and  $A_{k,\nu}^R$ , respectively.

6° Using (3.9) and (3.10), or (3.13), (3.14) and (3.15), we determine the spline approximation  $s_{n,m}(t)$ , or  $s_{n,m}^*(t)$ , respectively.

## 5. Numerical Example

In this section we consider a simple example – exponential distribution.

Let  $f(t) = e^{-t}$  on  $[0, +\infty)$ . According to Theorem 2.1 we have here the generalized Laguerre measure

$$d\lambda(t) = \frac{1}{m!} t^{m+1} e^{-t} dt, \quad 0 \leq t < +\infty.$$

We analyzed the cases when  $n = 2(1)5$ ,  $m = 2(1)5$ , and  $s = 1$ . All computations were done on the MICROVAX 3400 using VAX FORTRAN Ver. 5.3 in  $D$ -arithmetics (machine precision  $\approx 2.76 \times 10^{-17}$ ).

The coefficients of the spline (2.1), i.e.,

$$s_{n,m}(t) = \sum_{\nu=1}^n [a_{m-2,\nu}(\tau_\nu - t)_+^{m-2} + a_{m-1,\nu}(\tau_\nu - t)_+^{m-1} + a_{m,\nu}(\tau_\nu - t)_+^m],$$

are given in Tables 5.1 and 5.2 (to 10 decimals only, to save space) for  $n = 2$  and  $n = 3$ , respectively. Numbers in parenthesis indicate decimal exponents.

TABLE 5.1

The coefficients of spline function  $s_{n,m}(t)$  for  $n = 2$ ,  $m = 5$ ,  $s = 1$

$\nu$	$\tau_\nu$	$a_{m-2,\nu}$	$a_{m-1,\nu}$	$a_{m,\nu}$
1	5.187737459(0)	5.298036250(-4)	-2.719217472(-3)	6.344798189(-3)
2	1.418519396(1)	2.992965707(-7)	-2.517818993(-6)	5.551582363(-6)

TABLE 5.2

The coefficients of spline function  $s_{n,m}(t)$  for  $n = 3$ ,  $m = 5$ ,  $s = 1$

$\nu$	$\tau_\nu$	$a_{m-2,\nu}$	$a_{m-1,\nu}$	$a_{m,\nu}$
1	3.978424366(0)	1.048630112(-3)	-3.676925296(-3)	8.840144142(-3)
2	1.028050094(1)	5.332404617(-6)	-3.374135232(-5)	7.443616416(-5)
3	2.086562513(1)	5.370512250(-10)	-4.708093471(-9)	1.017579042(-8)

Table 5.3 shows the accuracy of the spline approximation  $s_{n,m}$ , i.e.,

$$e_{n,m} = \max_{0 \leq t \leq \tau_n} |s_{n,m}(t) - e^{-t}|,$$

for  $n = 2(1)5$ ,  $m = 2(1)5$ , and  $s = 1$ . Clearly, for  $t \geq \tau_n$ , the absolute error is equal to  $f(t) = e^{-t}$ .

TABLE 5.3

Accuracy of the spline approximation  $s_{n,m}(t)$

$n$	$m = 2$	$m = 3$	$m = 4$	$m = 5$
2	1.2(-1)	2.1(-2)	1.2(-2)	7.2(-3)
3	8.4(-2)	1.1(-2)	3.3(-3)	1.7(-3)
4	5.9(-2)	7.9(-3)	1.3(-3)	5.3(-4)
5	4.1(-2)	5.6(-3)	7.7(-4)	2.0(-4)

We can see that the approximation error is more easily reduced by increasing  $m$  rather than  $n$ .

A similar example of spline approximation on  $[0, 1]$  was given in [3].

#### REFERENCES

1. A. C. CALDER and J. G. LAFRAMBOISE: *Multiple-water-bag simulation of inhomogeneous plasma motion near an electrode*. J. Comput. Phys. **65** (1986), 18–45.
2. M. FRONTINI, W. GAUTSCHI, and G. V. MILOVANOVIĆ: *Moment-preserving spline approximation on finite intervals*. Numer. Math. **50** (1987), 503–518.
3. M. FRONTINI and G. V. MILOVANOVIĆ: *Moment-preserving spline approximation on finite intervals and Turán quadratures*. Facta Univ. Ser. Math. Inform. **4** (1989), 45–56.
4. W. GAUTSCHI: *A survey of Gauss-Christoffel quadrature formulae*. In: E. B. Christoffel (P. L. Butzer and F. Fehér, eds.), Birkhäuser, Basel, 1981, pp. 72–147.
5. W. GAUTSCHI: *On generating orthogonal polynomials*. SIAM J. Sci. Statist. Comput. **3** (1982), 289–317.
6. W. GAUTSCHI: *An algorithmic implementation of the generalized Christoffel theorem*. In: Numerische Integration (G. Hämmerlin, ed.), ISNM Vol. **57**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1982, pp. 89–106.
7. W. GAUTSCHI: *Discrete approximations to spherically symmetric distributions*. Numer. Math. **44** (1984), 53–60.
8. W. GAUTSCHI: *Spline approximation and quadrature formulae*. Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena **29** (1991), 47–60.
9. W. GAUTSCHI and G. V. MILOVANOVIĆ: *Spline approximations to spherically symmetric distributions*. Numer. Math. **49** (1986), 111–121.
10. L. GORI N. AMATI and E. SANTI: *On a method of approximation by means of spline functions*. In: Proc. Internat. Symp. on Approximation, Optimization and Computing, Dalian, China.
11. L. GORI, M. L. LO CASCIO and G. V. MILOVANOVIĆ: *The  $\sigma$ -orthogonal polynomials: a method of construction*. In: IMACS Annals on Computing and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 9, Orthogonal Polynomials and Their Applications (C. Brezinski, L. Gori, and A. Ronveaux, eds.), J.C. Baltzer AG, Scientific Publ. Co., Basel, 1991, pp. 281–285.
12. J. G. LAFRAMBOISE and A. D. STAUFFER: *Optimum discrete approximation of the Maxwell distribution*. AIAA J. **7** (1969), 520–523.

13. C. A. MICCHELLI: *Monosplines and moment preserving spline approximation*. In: Numerical Integration III (H. Brass and G. Hämmerlin, eds.), Birkhäuser, Basel, 1988, 130–139.
14. G. V. MILOVANOVIĆ: *Construction of  $s$ -orthogonal polynomials and Turán quadrature formulae*. In: Numerical Methods and Approximation Theory III (Niš, 1987) (G. V. Milovanović, ed.), Univ. Niš, Niš, 1988, pp. 311–328.
15. G. V. MILOVANOVIĆ and M. A. KOVAČEVIĆ: *Moment-preserving spline approximation and Turán quadratures*. In: Numerical Mathematics (Singapore, 1988) (R. P. Agarwal, Y. M. Chow and S. J. Wilson, eds.), ISNM Vol. **86**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1988, pp. 357–365

University of Niš  
Faculty of Electronic Engineering  
Department of Mathematics, P. O. Box 73  
18000 Niš, Yugoslavia

### SPLAJN APROKSIMACIJE KOJE OČUVAVAJU MOMENTE I QUADRATURNE FORMULE

Gradimir V. Milovanović i Milan A. Kovačević

U radu se diskutuje problem aproksimacije funkcije  $f$  pomoću splajn funkcije stepena  $m$  i defekta  $d$  sa  $n$  (promenljivih) čvorova, očuvavajući pritom maksimalan broj početnih momenata. Problem se povezuje sa Gauss-Turánovim kvadraturnim formulama.