

## ON MARKOV-DUFFIN-SCHAEFFER INEQUALITIES

GRADIMIR V. MILOVANOVIĆ\*

AND

THEMISTOCLES M. RASSIAS

ABSTRACT. In this survey paper we consider extremal problems for algebraic and trigonometric polynomials in the uniform norm. We study the classical inequalities of the brothers Markov, several variants of Bernstein inequality, as well as refinements of these inequalities.

## 1. Introduction

The first result in the theory of extremal problems for polynomials was connected with some investigations of the well-known Russian chemist Mendeleev [25]. In mathematical terms, Mendeleev's problem was: *If  $P(t)$  is an arbitrary quadratic polynomial defined on an interval  $[a, b]$ , with  $\max_{t \in [a, b]} P(t) - \min_{t \in [a, b]} P(t) = L$ , how large  $P'(t)$  can be on  $[a, b]$ ?* This problem can also be stated for polynomials of degree  $n$  in the following form: *If  $P(t)$  is an arbitrary polynomial of degree  $n$  and  $|P(t)| \leq 1$  on  $[-1, 1]$ , how large can  $|P'(t)|$  be on  $[-1, 1]$ ?* Such a problem was solved by A. A. Markov [22]. His brother V. A. Markov [23] investigated the upper bound of  $|P^{(k)}(t)|$ , where  $k \leq n$ . An analogue of Markov's theorem for the unit disk in the complex plane instead of for the interval  $[-1, 1]$  was investigated by Bernstein [2]. Markov's and Bernstein's inequalities are fundamental for the proof of many inverse theorems in polynomial approximation theory (see Dzyadyk [13], Lorentz [20], Meinardus [24], Ivanov [18]). There are many results on Markov's and Bernstein's theorems and their generalizations in various norms and restricted classes of polynomials. Several monographs and papers have been published in this area (cf. Boas [6], Durand [12], Mamedhanov [21], Milovanović [26], Milovanović, Mitrinović and Rassias [27–28], Rahman and Schmeisser [32], Rassias [34], Voronovskaja [47]).

In this paper we will give a short account of the classical results of the brothers Markov and Bernstein and refinements of their inequalities.

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 26C05, 26D05, 41A44.

\*Research supported in part by the Science Fund of Serbia under grant 0401F.

## 2. Classical inequalities

We begin by considering the following extremal problem: *Let  $\mathcal{P}_n$  be the set of all algebraic polynomials  $P$  ( $\neq 0$ ) of degree at most  $n$ . For a given norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , determine the best constant  $A_{n,k}$  such that*

$$(2.1) \quad \|P^{(k)}\| \leq A_{n,k} \|P\| \quad (P \in \mathcal{P}_n),$$

i.e.,

$$(2.2) \quad A_{n,k} = \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}_n} \frac{\|P^{(k)}\|}{\|P\|}.$$

In 1889, A. A. Markov [22] solved this extremal problem in the uniform norm on  $[-1, 1]$ ,

$$(2.3) \quad \|f\| = \|f\|_\infty = \max_{-1 \leq t \leq 1} |f(t)|.$$

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $k = 1$ . In the uniform norm (2.3), we have  $A_{n,1} = n^2$ . The equality in (2.1) holds only at  $\pm 1$  and only when  $P(t) = cT_n(t)$ , where  $T_n$  is the Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind of degree  $n$  and  $c$  is an arbitrary constant.*

The best possible constant for the  $k$ -th derivative was found by V. A. Markov [23] in 1892. A German version of his remarkable paper was published in 1916.

**Theorem 2.2.** *In the uniform norm (2.3), for each  $k = 1, \dots, n$ , we have  $A_{n,k} = T_n^{(k)}(1)$ . The extremal polynomial is  $T_n$ .*

Without loss of generality, we can suppose that  $\|P\|_\infty = 1$ .

Markov's proof of this result is based on a complicated variational method. He investigated a more general problem: *If  $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  are given constants and  $P(t) = \sum_{\nu=0}^n a_\nu t^\nu$  satisfies the condition  $\|P\|_\infty = 1$ , what is the precise bound for the linear form  $\sum_{\nu=0}^n a_\nu \lambda_\nu$ ?* By suitably choosing the constants  $\lambda_\nu$  the linear form can be made equal to any derivative of  $P(t)$  at any preassigned point.

For fixed  $k$  suppose that  $t \mapsto \bar{P}(t)$  is an extremal polynomial, i.e., there exists  $t^* \in [-1, 1]$  such that

$$|\bar{P}^{(k)}(t^*)| = \sup \left\{ |P^{(k)}(t^*)| : P \in \mathcal{P}_n, \|P\|_\infty = 1 \right\}.$$

It is easily shown that such a polynomial exists. Markov's variational approach was to show that  $|\bar{P}^{(k)}(t^*)|$  must be equal to 1 at either  $n$  or  $n+1$  different points  $-1 < \tau_1 <$

$\tau_2 < \dots < \tau_m < 1$  ( $m = n$  or  $m = n + 1$ ). In the latter case  $\pm \bar{P}(t)$  is the Chebyshev polynomial  $T_n(t)$ , whose derivatives satisfy

$$(2.4) \quad |P^{(k)}(t)| \leq \frac{n^2(n^2 - 1^2)(n^2 - 2^2) \cdots (n^2 - (k - 1)^2)}{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2k - 1)} \quad (-1 \leq t \leq 1).$$

In the former case it can be proved that the extremal polynomial satisfies a differential equation of the form

$$1 - y^2 = \frac{(1 - t^2)(t - b)(t - c)}{n^2(t - a)^2} (y')^2,$$

where  $a, b, c$  are real constants which depend upon one parameter. Markov thus proved that derivatives of this class of polynomials satisfy also (2.4).

A simple proof of Theorem 2.2 was given by Bernstein [4] and an elementary proof by Mohr [29].

Another type of these inequalities goes back to Bernstein [2] in 1912, who considered the following problem: *Let  $P(z)$  be a polynomial of degree  $n$  and  $|P(z)| \leq 1$  in the unit disk  $|z| \leq 1$ . Determine how large can  $|P'(z)|$  be for  $|z| \leq 1$ .*

If we take  $\|f\| = \max_{|z| \leq 1} |f(z)|$ , this problem can be reduced to the inequality (2.1) for  $k = 1$ . Thus, Bernstein's theorem gives:

**Theorem 2.3.**  $A_{n,1} = n$ . The extremal polynomial is  $P(z) = cz^n$ ,  $c = \text{const}$ .

Bernstein's theorem 2.3 can be stated in several different forms. One of them is known as Bernstein's theorem for trigonometric polynomials:

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $T(\theta)$  be a trigonometric polynomial of degree  $n$  and  $|T(\theta)| \leq 1$ , then*

$$(2.5) \quad |T'(\theta)| \leq n.$$

The equality holds for  $T(\theta) = \gamma \sin n(\theta - \theta_0)$ , where  $|\gamma| = 1$ .

A standard form of Bernstein's theorem is as follows:

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$  and  $|P(t)| \leq 1$  ( $-1 \leq t \leq 1$ ), then*

$$(2.6) \quad |P'(t)| \leq \frac{n}{\sqrt{1 - t^2}}, \quad -1 < t < 1.$$

The equality is attained at the points  $t = t_\nu = \cos \frac{(2\nu - 1)\pi}{2n}$ ,  $1 \leq \nu \leq n$ , if and only if  $P(t) = \gamma T_n(t)$ , where  $|\gamma| = 1$ .

This result was proved by Bernstein [2] at the same time as Theorem 2.4, except that in (2.5) he had  $2n$  in place of  $n$ . Inequality (2.6) in the present form first appeared

in print in a paper of Fekete [15] who attributes the proof to Fejér [14]. Bernstein [3] attributes the proof to E. Landau.

Bernstein's proof of Theorem 2.4 was based on a variational method. Simpler proofs of this theorem have been obtained by M. Riesz [36], F. Riesz [35], and de la Vallée Poussin [41]. Now, we give an adapted proof of de la Vallée Poussin (cf. Lorentz [20]).

A similar method can be used to show that under the condition of Theorem 2.4 a sharper inequality

$$(2.7) \quad n^2 T(\theta)^2 + T'(\theta)^2 \leq n^2$$

holds, where  $T(\theta)$  is assumed to be real. In the general case in which the polynomial  $T(\theta)$  is a complex-valued function we cannot say that the sum of the absolute magnitudes of the two terms on the left in (2.7) is less than  $n^2$ . This is shown by the example  $T(\theta) = e^{in\theta}$ . Inequality (2.7) was first explicitly stated by van der Corput and Schaake [7–8], although it is implicit in an earlier inequality due to Szegő (cf. Schaeffer [37]).

Iterating the Bernstein's inequality (2.5) we can obtain the corresponding inequality for the  $k$ -th derivative

$$|T^{(k)}(\theta)| \leq n^k.$$

This result can be stated in the following form:

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $T(\theta)$  be a trigonometric polynomial of degree  $n$ . Then*

$$(2.8) \quad \max_{\theta} |T^{(k)}(\theta)| \leq n^k \max_{\theta} |T(\theta)| \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots),$$

with equality only if  $T(\theta) = a \cos n\theta + b \sin n\theta$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are constants.

Using the forward-difference operator  $\Delta_h$ , defined by

$$\Delta_h f(\theta) = f(\theta + h) - f(\theta) \quad (h > 0),$$

Stečkin [40] gave an interesting analogue of the Bernstein inequality (2.8):

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $0 < h < 2\pi/n$ . Then*

$$(2.9) \quad \max_{\theta} |T^{(k)}(\theta)| \leq \left( \frac{n}{2 \sin \frac{nh}{2}} \right)^k \max_{\theta} |\Delta_h^k T(\theta)| \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots),$$

where

$$\Delta_h^k T(\theta) = \sum_{\nu=0}^k (-1)^{k-\nu} \binom{k}{\nu} T(\theta + \nu h).$$



The equality in (2.9) is attained if and only if

$$T(\theta) = a \cos n\theta + b \sin n\theta + c,$$

where  $a, b, c$  are constants.

For  $k = 1$ , Stečkin inequality (2.9) reduces to

$$|T'(\theta)| \leq \frac{n}{2 \sin \frac{nh}{2}} \max_{\theta} |T(\theta + h) - T(\theta)| \quad (0 < nh < 2\pi).$$

If  $h = \pi/n$ , inequality (2.9) becomes

$$(2.10) \quad \max_{\theta} |T^{(k)}(\theta)| \leq \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^k \max_{\theta} |\Delta_{\pi/n}^k T(\theta)|.$$

This result was also obtained by S. N. Nikol'skiĭ at the same time, but with a different method.

Since

$$\max_{\theta} |\Delta_{\pi/n}^k T(\theta)| \leq 2^k \max_{\theta} |T(\theta)|,$$

using (2.10) we obtain the Bernstein's inequality (2.8).

Bernstein's result (2.6) can also be interpreted in the following way: *If a polynomial  $P(t)$  of degree  $n$  satisfies the inequality*

$$|P| \leq 1 \equiv (T_n(t)^2 + S_n(t)^2)^{1/2} \quad (-1 \leq t \leq 1),$$

where  $T_n(t) = \cos(n \arccos t)$  and  $S_n(t) = \sin(n \arccos t)$ , then

$$|P'(t)| \leq \frac{n}{\sqrt{1-t^2}} = \left| \frac{d}{dt} (T_n(t) + iS_n(t)) \right| \quad (-1 < t < 1).$$

Similarly, Schaeffer and Duffin [38] proved the corresponding inequality for the  $k$ -th derivative of a polynomial  $P$  of degree  $n$  for which  $|P| \leq 1$  on  $[-1, 1]$ . Namely,

$$|P^{(k)}(t)| \leq \left| \frac{d^k}{dt^k} (T_n(t) + iS_n(t)) \right| \quad (-1 < t < 1),$$

i.e.,

$$|P^{(k)}(t)|^2 \leq M_k(t) \quad (-1 < t < 1; \quad k = 1, \dots, n),$$

where

$$M_k(t) = \left( \frac{d^k}{dt^k} \cos n\theta \right)^2 + \left( \frac{d^k}{dt^k} \sin n\theta \right)^2, \quad t = \cos \theta.$$

Using this result, Schaeffer and Duffin [38] obtained an elegant proof of V. A. Markov's inequality. Namely, for  $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$  and such that  $\|P\|_{\infty} \leq 1$ , they proved that

$$(2.11) \quad \|P^{(k)}\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{n^2(n^2-1^2)(n^2-2^2) \cdots (n^2-(k-1)^2)}{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2k-1)}$$

for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . The equality can occur only at  $t = \pm 1$  and here only if  $P(t) = \gamma T_n(t)$ , where  $|\gamma| = 1$ .

Now, we give an interesting result of Schur [39].

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $Q \in \mathcal{P}_{n-1}$  and*

$$|Q(t)| \leq M(1 - t^2)^{-1/2} \quad (-1 < t < 1),$$

*then*

$$|Q(t)| \leq Mn \quad (-1 \leq t \leq 1).$$

Using Bernstein's and Schur's theorems 2.5 and 2.8, we can give a simple proof of Markov's theorem 2.1. Namely, if  $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$  and  $|P(t)| \leq 1$  ( $-1 \leq t \leq 1$ ), then  $|P'(t)| \leq n(1 - t^2)^{-1/2}$  and finally, by Theorem 2.8, we obtain  $|P'(t)| \leq n^2$  ( $-1 \leq t \leq 1$ ), i.e.  $A_{n,1} = n^2$ .

We can ask how large can  $|P'(t)|$  be, for a given  $t$ , when  $|P(t)| \leq 1$  on  $[-1, 1]$ ? Let this maximum be  $M_n(t)$ . It is easy to see that the function  $M_n$  is even, i.e.  $M_n(-t) = M_n(t)$ .

The problem of finding  $M_n(t)$  was stated by Markov himself, and solved for  $n = 2$  and  $n = 3$ . So he determined that

$$M_2(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1-t}, & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ 4t, & \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

and

$$M_3(t) = \begin{cases} 3(1 - 4t^2), & t \in [t_0, t_1], \\ \frac{7\sqrt{7} + 10}{9(1+t)}, & t \in [t_1, t_2], \\ \frac{16t^3}{(1 - 9t^2)(1 - t^2)}, & t \in [t_2, t_3], \\ \frac{7\sqrt{7} - 10}{9(1-t)}, & t \in [t_3, t_4], \\ 3(4t^2 - 1), & t \in [t_4, t_5], \end{cases}$$

where  $t_0 = 0$ ,  $t_1 = \frac{1}{6}(\sqrt{7} - 2) \cong 0.1076$ ,  $t_2 = \frac{1}{9}(2\sqrt{7} - 1) \cong 0.4768$ ,  $t_3 = \frac{1}{9}(2\sqrt{7} + 1) \cong 0.6991$ ,  $t_4 = \frac{1}{6}(\sqrt{7} + 2) \cong 0.7743$ , and  $t_5 = 1$ .

The determination of  $M_n(t)$ ,  $n \geq 4$ , is very complicated and it can be given by a technique of Voronovskaja (see [47]). Using the same method, Gusev [16] found the corresponding function  $M_{n,k}(t)$  in the inequality

$$|P^{(k)}(t)| \leq M_{n,k}(t), \quad 1 < k < n.$$

Instead of the condition  $|P(t)| \leq 1$  on  $[-1, 1]$ , Bernstein [5] used a more general condition

$$(2.12) \quad |P(t)| \leq \sqrt{H(t)} \quad (-1 \leq t \leq 1),$$

where  $H$  is an arbitrary positive polynomial on  $[-1, 1]$  of degree  $s$ . If  $n \geq s/2$ , the polynomial  $H$  can be uniquely represented in the form

$$(2.13) \quad H(t) = M_n(t)^2 + (1 - t^2)N_{n-1}(t)^2,$$

where  $M_n$  and  $N_{n-1}$  are polynomials of degree  $n$  and  $n - 1$ , respectively, such that all their zeros belong to  $(-1, 1)$  satisfying an interlacing property, and  $M_n(1) > 0$ ,  $N_{n-1}(1) > 0$ .

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let  $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$ . Under the condition (2.12), where  $H$  is given by (2.13), the inequality*

$$(2.14) \quad |P'(t)| \leq \left| \frac{d}{dt} (M_n(t) + i\sqrt{1 - t^2}N_{n-1}(t)) \right| \quad (-1 < t < 1).$$

holds. The equality is attained in (2.14) for  $P(t) = \gamma M_n(t)$ , where  $|\gamma| = 1$ .

Videnskii [44] proved the corresponding inequality for the  $k$ -th derivative of  $P$ :

**Theorem 2.10.** *Let  $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$ . Under the condition (2.12), where  $H$  is given by (2.13), the following inequality*

$$(2.15) \quad |P^{(k)}(t)| \leq \left| \frac{d^k}{dt^k} (M_n(t) + i\sqrt{1 - t^2}N_{n-1}(t)) \right| \quad (-1 < t < 1),$$

holds, for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ . The equality is attained in (2.15) for  $P(t) = \gamma M_n(t)$ , where  $|\gamma| = 1$ .

Several inequalities of this type were given by Videnskii [42–46], and others.

### 3. Markov-Duffin-Schaeffer Inequalities

As we mentioned in the previous section, Schaeffer and Duffin [38] in 1938 found a shorter proof of Theorem 2.2 due to V. Markov, proving the inequality (2.11). Duffin and Schaeffer [11] proved also that for this inequality to hold it is only necessary to assume that  $|P(t)| \leq 1$  at  $n + 1$  selected points in  $[-1, 1]$ . This inequality is a consequence of a more general inequality concerning Lagrange interpolation.

Let  $z \mapsto Q(z)$  be a polynomial of degree  $n$  with  $n$  distinct real zeros  $\zeta_\nu$  lying in the real interval  $(a, b)$ . Then

$$(3.1) \quad Q(z) = A \prod_{\nu=1}^n (z - \zeta_\nu) \quad (A \neq 0),$$

which implies

$$Q'(z) = \sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{Q(z)}{z - \zeta_\nu}.$$

Duffin and Schaeffer [11] studied the problem of determining the maximum of the non-analytic function

$$t \mapsto F(t) = \sum_{\nu=1}^n \left| \frac{Q(t)}{t - \zeta_\nu} \right|,$$

when  $t$  belongs to the interval  $(a, b)$ . In fact, by making the hypothesis

$$(3.2) \quad |Q(t + is)| \leq |Q(b + is)| \quad (a \leq t \leq b, -\infty < s < +\infty),$$

they obtained that

$$F(t) \leq F(b) = |Q'(b)| \quad (a \leq t \leq b),$$

which is equivalent to the following statement: *Suppose that  $z \mapsto P(z)$  is an arbitrary polynomial of degree at most  $n$ , such that*

$$(3.3) \quad |P'(t)| \leq |Q'(t)| \quad \text{wherever} \quad Q(t) = 0$$

*and let (3.2) be satisfied. Then*

$$|P'(t)| \leq |Q'(b)| \quad (a \leq t \leq b).$$

The equivalence is a consequence of the Lagrange interpolation formula. Namely,

$$P'(z) = \sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{P'(\zeta_\nu)}{Q'(\zeta_\nu)} \frac{Q(z)}{z - \zeta_\nu}.$$

Thus, if  $t$  is an arbitrary point in  $(a, b)$  then

$$\max_{a < t < b} |P'(t)| = \sum_{\nu=1}^n \left| \frac{Q(t)}{t - \zeta_\nu} \right|.$$

Duffin and Schaeffer [11] proved the following results:

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $Q$  be a polynomial of degree  $n$  with  $n$  distinct real zeros  $\zeta_\nu$  ( $\nu = 1, \dots, n$ ), given by (3.1), and let  $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$  satisfies (3.3). Then*

$$|P^{(k)}(z)| \leq |Q^{(k)}(z)|$$

*at the zeros of  $Q^{(k-1)}(z)$ , where  $k = 1, \dots, n$ .*

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $Q$  be a polynomial of degree  $n$  with  $n$  distinct real zeros  $\zeta_\nu$  ( $\nu = 1, \dots, n$ ) which lie to the left of the point  $b$  on the real axis and suppose that in a strip of the complex plane, the polynomial  $Q$  satisfies the inequality (3.2). If  $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$  is a polynomial with real coefficients satisfying (3.3), then the derivatives of  $P$  and  $Q$  must satisfy

$$|P^{(k)}(t + is)| \leq |Q^{(k)}(b + is)| \quad (k = 1, \dots, n)$$

in the strip  $a \leq t \leq b$ ,  $-\infty < s < +\infty$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** The Chebyshev polynomials  $T_n$  satisfy the inequality

$$|T_n(t + is)| \leq |T_n(1 + is)| \quad (-1 \leq t \leq 1, -\infty < s < +\infty).$$

According to Schur's result given by Theorem 2.8, if a polynomial  $R$  of degree at most  $n - 1$  satisfies

$$(3.4) \quad (1 - t^2)^{1/2} |R(t)| \leq 1 \quad (-1 \leq t \leq 1),$$

then

$$(3.5) \quad |R(t)| \leq n \quad (-1 \leq t \leq 1).$$

Using Theorem 3.2, with  $Q(t) = T_n(t)$ , where  $T_n$  is the Chebyshev polynomial of degree  $n$ , we can see that if (3.4) is satisfied at the  $n$  points

$$t = \tau_\nu = \cos((2\nu - 1)\pi/(2n)) \quad (\nu = 1, \dots, n),$$

then (3.5) is still true. Indeed, when  $T_n(t) = 0$ ,  $T'_n(t) = n(1 - t^2)^{-1/2}$  we may write (in Theorem 3.2)  $P'(t) = nR(t)$ . Therefore,

$$|nR(t)| \leq T'_n(1) = n^2 \quad (-1 \leq t \leq 1).$$

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$  be a polynomial with real coefficients such that

$$(3.6) \quad |P(\cos \nu\pi/n)| \leq 1 \quad (\nu = 0, 1, \dots, n),$$

then

$$|P^{(k)}(t + is)| \leq |T_n^{(k)}(1 + is)| \quad (-1 \leq t \leq 1, -\infty < s < +\infty)$$

for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . The equality holds only if  $P(z) = \pm T_n(z)$ .

In this theorem the restriction that  $P$  have real coefficients is essential. For points on the real axis the same estimate holds for  $|P^{(k)}(z)|$  even if  $P$  has complex coefficients.

Under the same condition for  $P$ , it can be proved that

$$|P^{(k)}(t + is)| \leq |T_n^{(k)}(b + is)| \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots, n),$$

when  $t, s, b$  are real and  $|t| \leq b$ ,  $b \geq 1$  (cf. Zinger [48–50] and Voronovskaja [47]).

In connection with the above inequalities, Kemperman [19] obtained the following results:



**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$  be a polynomial with real coefficients such that  $|P(t)| \leq 1$  for  $-1 \leq t \leq 1$ . Then, for all complex numbers  $z$ ,

$$(3.7) \quad |P(z)|^2 + (1 - P(\tilde{z})^2) \leq T_n(z^*)^2,$$

where  $z^*$  denotes the associated point on  $[1, +\infty)$ , where the ellipse through  $z$  with foci  $-1$  and  $+1$  intersects the positive real axis, i.e.  $z^* = \cosh v = \frac{1}{2}(|z+1| + |z-1|)$ , and  $\tilde{z} = \cos u$  on  $[-1, 1]$ , where the hyperbola through  $z = \cos(u + iv)$  with foci  $-1$  and  $+1$  intersects the real axis.

The equality holds in (3.7) when  $z \in [-1, +1]$ . Otherwise, it can only hold when  $P(z) = \pm T_n(z)$ , for all complex  $z$ .

**Theorem 3.6.** Under the same conditions on  $P$  as in the above theorem, the following inequality

$$|P'(z)| \leq T'_n(z^*)$$

holds, for all complex  $z$ . The equality occurs only when  $P(z) = \pm T_n(z)$  and  $z = \pm z^*$ .

**Theorem 3.7.** Let  $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$  be a polynomial with real coefficients satisfying (3.6), then

$$|P^{(k)}(z)| \leq |T_n^{(k)}(z)| \leq T_n^{(k)}(z^*),$$

for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ , provided  $|z| \geq \beta_{n,k}$ , where  $\beta_{n,k}$  denotes the largest zero of  $T_n^{(k-1)}(z)$ .

Regarding the Markov's inequality, Duffin and Schaeffer [11] proved the following refinement:

**Theorem 3.8.** Let  $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$  such that (3.6) holds, then inequality

$$(3.8) \quad \|P^{(k)}\|_\infty \leq T_n^{(k)}(1) = \frac{n^2(n^2 - 1^2)(n^2 - 2^2) \cdots (n^2 - (k-1)^2)}{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2k-1)}$$

is satisfied for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ . The equality occurs only if  $P(t) = \gamma T_n(t)$ , where  $|\gamma| = 1$ .

An interesting question appears whether or not there are  $n+1$  other points in the interval  $(-1, 1)$  satisfying the same property. Duffin and Schaeffer [11] gave a negative answer to this question. In fact, they showed that if  $E$  is any closed subset of  $(-1, 1)$  which does not contain all the points  $\tau_\nu = \cos(\nu\pi/n)$ , then there is a polynomial  $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$  which is bounded by 1 in  $E$ , but (3.8) is not satisfied.

The above refined inequalities of Markov are known as *Markov-Duffin-Schaeffer inequalities*. These inequalities have interesting applications in numerical analysis (cf. Berman [1] and Haverkamp [17]). In particular one can use these inequalities to construct optimally stable formulae for numerical differentiation of functions  $f$  satisfying  $\|f\|_\infty < +\infty$ .

The proof of Duffin and Schaeffer is based on continuation of the functions to the complex plane and on using various techniques from complex analysis. Duffin and Karlovitz [9] have given an elementary variational approach that, simultaneously, proves the Markov inequalities and the Duffin-Schaeffer refinement as well as generalizes the result to a larger class of functions. They have given explicit bounds on the first and second derivatives. Their method can be extended to higher derivatives of polynomials.

Recently, Rahman and Schmeisser [33] considered such a refinement of the inequalities which is connected with a question that was posed by the late Professor Paul Turán in 1970 at a conference on *Constructive Function Theory* held in Varna, Bulgaria (cf. Pierre and Rahman [30–31]).

## References

1. D. L. Berman, *Solution of an extremal problem of the theory of interpolation*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **87** (1952), 167–170. (Russian)
2. S. N. Bernstein, *Sur l'ordre de la meilleure approximation des fonctions continues par des polynômes de degré donné*, Mémoires de l'Académie Royale de Belgique (**2**) **4** (1912), 1–103.
3. S. N. Bernstein, *Leçons sur les propriétés extrémales et la meilleure approximation des fonctions analytiques d'une variable réelle*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1926.
4. S. N. Bernstein, *Sur le théorème de W. Markov*, Trans. Lenin. Ind. Inst. (**1938**), 8–13.
5. S. N. Bernstein, *Sur la limitation des dérivées des polynômes*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris **190** (1930), 338–341.
6. R. P. Boas, *Inequalities for the derivatives of polynomials*, Math. Mag. vol 42 (1969), 165–174.
7. J. G. van der Corput and G. Schaake, *Ungleichungen für Polynome und trigonometrische Polynome*, Compositio Math. **2** (1935), 321–361.
8. J. G. van der Corput and G. Schaake, *Berichtigung zu: Ungleichungen für Polynome und trigonometrische Polynome*, Compositio Math. **3** (1936), 128.
9. R. J. Duffin and L. A. Karlovitz, *The Markoff-Duffin-Schaeffer inequalities abstracted*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA **82** (1985), 955–957.
10. R. J. Duffin and A. C. Schaeffer, *On the extension of a functional inequality of S. Bernstein to non-analytic functions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **46** (1940), 356–363.
11. R. J. Duffin and A. C. Schaeffer, *A refinement of an inequality of the brothers Markoff*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **50** (1941), 517–528.
12. A. Durand, *Quelques aspects de la theorie analytique des polynomes. I et II*, Université de Limoges, 1984.
13. V. K. Dzyadyk, *Introduction to Uniform Approximation Theory by Polynomials*, Nauka, Moscow, 1977. (Russian)
14. L. Fejér, *Über einen S. Bernsteinschen Satz über die Derivierte eines trigonometrischen Polynoms, und über die Szegösche Verschärfung desselben*, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. vol 20 (1928–1929), 49–54.
15. M. Fekete, *Über einen Satz des Herrn Serge Bernstein*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **146** (1916), 88–94.
16. V. A. Gusev, *Functionals of derivatives of an algebraic polynomial and V. A. Markov's theorem*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **25** (1961), 367–384. (Russian)
17. R. Haverkamp, *Zur Konvergenz der Ableitung von Interpolationspolynomen*, Computing **32** (1984), 343–355.

18. V. I. Ivanov, *Some extremal properties of polynomials and inverse inequalities in approximation theory*, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov **145** (1979), 79–110.
19. J. H. B. Kemperman, *Markov type inequalities for the derivatives of a polynomial*, Aspects of Mathematics and its Applications (J. A. Barroso, ed.), Elsevier Science Publ. B. V., 1986, pp. 465–476.
20. G. G. Lorentz, *Approximation of functions*, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1966.
21. Dzh. M. Mamedhanov, *On some subclasses of entire and rational functions*, Special Problems in Function Theory, Baku, 1977, pp. 111–154. (Russian)
22. A. A. Markov, *On a problem of D. I. Mendeleev*, Zap. Imp. Akad. Nauk, St. Petersburg **62** (1889), 1–24. (Russian)
23. V. A. Markov, *On functions deviating least from zero in a given interval*, Izdat. Imp. Akad. Nauk, St. Petersburg 1892 (Russian) [German transl. Math. Ann. **77** (1916), 218–258].
24. G. Meinardus, *Approximation of functions: Theory and numerical methods*, Springer – Verlag, New York, 1967.
25. D. Mendeleev, *Investigation of aqueous solutions based on specific gravity*, St. Petersburg **1887**. (Russian)
26. G. V. Milovanović, *Various extremal problems of Markov's type for algebraic polynomials*, Facta Univ. Ser. Math. Inform. **2** (1987), 7–28.
27. G. V. Milovanović, D. S. Mitrinović, and Th. M. Rassias, *On some extremal problems for algebraic polynomials in  $L^r$  norm*, Generalized Functions and Convergence (P. Antosik and A. Kamiński, eds.), World Scientific, Singapore, 1990, pp. 343–354.
28. G. V. Milovanović, D. S. Mitrinović, and Th. M. Rassias, *On some Turán's extremal problems for algebraic polynomials*, Topics in Polynomials of One and Several Variables and Their Applications: A Mathematical Legacy of P. L. Chebyshev (1821–1894) (Th. M. Rassias, H. Srivastava, and A. Yanushauskas, eds.), World Scientific, Singapore, 1993 (to appear).
29. E. Mohr, *Elementarer Beweis einer Ungleichung von W. A. Markoff* Jour. Tensor (N.S.) **14** (1963), 71–85.
30. R. Pierre and Q. I. Rahman, *On a problem of Turán about polynomials*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **56** (1976), 231–238.
31. R. Pierre and Q. I. Rahman, *On a problem of Turán about polynomials II*, Canad. J. Math. **33** (1981), 701–733.
32. Q. I. Rahman and G. Schmeisser, *Les inégalités de Markoff et de Bernstein*, Presses Univ. Montréal, Montréal, Québec, 1983.
33. Q. I. Rahman and G. Schmeisser, *Markov-Duffin-Schaeffer inequality for polynomials with a circular majorant*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **310** (1988), 693–702.
34. Th. M. Rassias, *On certain properties of polynomials and their derivative*, Topics in Mathematical Analysis (Th. M. Rassias, ed.), World Scientific, Singapore, 1989, pp. 758–802.
35. F. Riesz, *Sur les polynomes trigonométriques*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris **158** (1914), 1657–1661.
36. M. Riesz, *Eine trigonometrische Interpolationsformel und einige Ungleichungen für Polynome*, Jahresbericht der Deutschen Mathematiker-Vereinigung **23** (1914), 354–368.
37. A. C. Schaeffer, *Inequalities of A. Markoff and S. Bernstein for polynomials and related functions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **47** (1941), 565–579.
38. A. C. Schaeffer and R. J. Duffin, *On some inequalities of S. Bernstein and W. Markoff for derivatives of polynomials*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **44** (1938), 289–297.
39. I. Schur, *Über das Maximum des absoluten Betrages eines Polynoms in einem gegebenen Intervall*, Math. Z. **4** (1919), 271–287.
40. S. B. Stečkin, *Generalization of some inequalities of S. N. Bernstein*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **60** (1948), 1511–1515.
41. C. de la Vallée Poussin, *Sur le maximum du module de la dérivée d'une expression trigonométrique d'ordre et de module bornés*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris **166** (1918), 843–846.

42. V. S. Videnskiĭ, *On inequalities related to derivatives of polynomials*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **67** (1949), 777–780. (Russian)
43. V. S. Videnskiĭ, *On the estimates of derivatives of polynomials*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **73** (1950), 257–259. (Russian)
44. V. S. Videnskiĭ, *On the estimates of derivatives of polynomials*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **15** (1951), 401–420. (Russian)
45. V. S. Videnskiĭ, *On one generalization of a theorem of A. A. Markov*, Zap. Mat. Ot. Fiz.-Mat. Fak. Har'k. Gos. im. A. M. Gor'kogo and Har'k. Mat. Ob. **26** (4) (1960), 155–158. (Russian)
46. V. S. Videnskiĭ, *Another remark on a theorem of A. A. Markov*, Zap. Mat. Ot. Fiz.-Mat. Fak. Har'k. Gos. im. A. M. Gor'kogo and Har'k. Mat. Ob. **26** (4) (1960), 159–161. (Russian)
47. E. V. Voronovskaja, *The functional method and its applications*, Trans. Math. Monographs Vol. **28**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1970.
48. M. Ya. Zinger, *Functionals of derivatives in the complex plane*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **7** (1966), 158–171.
49. M. Ya. Zinger, *Generalization of the Schaeffer–Duffin problem to finite functionals*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **8** (1967), 7–10.
50. M. Ya. Zinger, *Inequalities for derivatives of algebraic polynomials in the complex plane*, Siberian Math. J. **8** (1968), 723–726.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, P. O. BOX 73, 18000 NIŠ, YUGOSLAVIA.

UNIVERSITY OF LA VERNE, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, P. O. BOX 51105, KIFISSIA, ATHENS, GREECE.

RECEIVED BY THE EDITOR: MARCH 23, 1993.