

A NOTE ON THREE-STEP ITERATIVE METHODS FOR NONLINEAR EQUATIONS

GRADIMIR V. MILOVANOVIĆ AND ALEKSANDAR S. CVETKOVIĆ

Dedicated to Professor Petru Blaga at his 60th anniversary

Abstract. In this short note we give certain comments and improvements of some three-step iterative methods recently considered by N.A. Mir and T. Zaman (Appl. Math. Comput. (2007) doi: 10.1016/j.amc.2007.03.071).

1. Introduction

Very recently, N.A. Mir and T. Zaman [1] have considered three-step quadrature based iterative methods for finding a single zero $x = \alpha$ of a nonlinear equation

$$f(x) = 0. \tag{1.1}$$

All variants of their methods include the formula

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)f'(x_n)}{f'(x_n)^2 - \lambda f(x_n)f''(x_n)}, \tag{1.2}$$

obtained from the rectangular quadrature formula. It is clear that (1.2) reduces to Newton and Halley method for $\lambda = 0$ and $\lambda = 1/2$, respectively.

Received by the editors: 16.05.2007.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 65H05.

Key words and phrases. iterative methods, three-step methods, nonlinear equations, order of convergence, computational efficiency.

The authors were supported in part by the Serbian Ministry of Science and Environmental Protection (Project #144004G).

As a variant with maximal order of convergence they have proposed the following three-step method

$$\left. \begin{aligned} y_n &= x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)}, \\ z_n &= y_n - \frac{f(y_n)f'(y_n)}{f'(y_n)^2 - \lambda f(y_n)f''(y_n)}, \\ x_{n+1} &= z_n - \frac{(y_n - z_n)f(z_n)}{f(y_n) - 2f(z_n)}, \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1.3)$$

proving that for a sufficiently smooth function f and a starting point x_0 sufficiently close to the single zero $x = \alpha$, this method has eighth order convergence for $\lambda = 1/2$, i.e.,

$$e_{n+1} = (-c_3c_2^5 + c_2^7)e_n^8 + O(e_n^9),$$

where $e_n = x_n - \alpha$ and

$$c_k = \frac{1}{k!} \frac{f^{(k)}(\alpha)}{f'(\alpha)}, \quad k = 2, 3, \dots \quad (1.4)$$

As we can see this three-step method need six function evaluations per iteration: $f(x_n)$, $f(y_n)$, $f(z_n)$, $f'(x_n)$, $f'(y_n)$, and $f''(y_n)$. Without new function evaluations, in this note we show that the formula

$$x_{n+1} = S(y_n, z_n) = z_n - \frac{f(z_n)}{f'(y_n) + (z_n - y_n)f''(y_n)} \quad (1.5)$$

is a much better choice than the third formula in (1.3). In that case the corresponding three-step method has tenth order convergence. Moreover, the formula (1.5) is numerically stable in comparing with the previous one.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give certain auxiliary formulae, which can be used also in other investigations in convergence analysis. The main results and a numerical example are given in Section 3.

2. Some auxiliary formulae

We suppose that the equation (1.1) has a single zero $x = \alpha$ in certain neighborhood $U_\varepsilon(\alpha) := (\alpha - \varepsilon, \alpha + \varepsilon)$, $\varepsilon > 0$, and that the function f is sufficiently differentiable in $U_\varepsilon(\alpha)$. Evidently, $f'(\alpha) \neq 0$.

Let $x_n \in U_\varepsilon(\alpha)$ and

$$e_n := x_n - \alpha, \quad \tilde{e}_n := y_n - \alpha, \quad \hat{e}_n := z_n - \alpha.$$

Using (1.4) it is easy to get the following formula

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)} &= e_n - c_2 e_n^2 + 2(c_2^2 - c_3) e_n^3 - (4c_2^3 - 7c_3 c_2 + 3c_4) e_n^4 \\ &\quad + (8c_2^4 - 20c_3 c_2^2 + 10c_4 c_2 + 6c_3^2 - 4c_5) e_n^5 \\ &\quad - [16c_2^5 - 52c_3 c_2^3 + 28c_4 c_2^2 + (33c_3^2 - 13c_5) c_2 - 17c_3 c_4 + 5c_6] e_n^6 \\ &\quad + O(e_n^7). \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

This formula is an inverse of the well-known Schröder formula (cf. [2, pp. 352–354]).

Therefore, in the case of the Newton method

$$\Phi_N(x_n) = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)} \quad (2.2)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{e}_n &= \Phi_N(x_n) - \alpha \\ &= c_2 e_n^2 - 2(c_2^2 - c_3) e_n^3 + (4c_2^3 - 7c_3 c_2 + 3c_4) e_n^4 \\ &\quad - (8c_2^4 - 20c_3 c_2^2 + 10c_4 c_2 + 6c_3^2 - 4c_5) e_n^5 \\ &\quad + [16c_2^5 - 52c_3 c_2^3 + 28c_4 c_2^2 + (33c_3^2 - 13c_5) c_2 - 17c_3 c_4 + 5c_6] e_n^6 \\ &\quad + O(e_n^7). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Also, we need the corresponding expression for

$$\tilde{C}_2(y_n) := \frac{f''(y_n)}{2f'(y_n)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{f''(\alpha) + \frac{f'''(\alpha)}{1!} \tilde{e}_n + \frac{f^{iv}(\alpha)}{2!} \tilde{e}_n^2 + \dots}{f'(\alpha) + \frac{f''(\alpha)}{1!} \tilde{e}_n + \frac{f'''(\alpha)}{2!} \tilde{e}_n^2 + \dots},$$

i.e.,

$$\tilde{C}_2(y_n) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1 \cdot 2 c_2 + 2 \cdot 3 c_3 \tilde{e}_n + 3 \cdot 4 c_4 \tilde{e}_n^2 + \dots}{1 + 2c_2 \tilde{e}_n + 3c_3 \tilde{e}_n^2 + \dots},$$

where c_k are defined by (1.4). It gives

$$\tilde{C}_2(y_n) = A_0 + A_1\tilde{e}_n + A_2\tilde{e}_n^2 + A_3\tilde{e}_n^3 + \dots, \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 &= c_2, & A_1 &= 3c_3 - 2c_2^2, & A_2 &= 4c_2^3 - 9c_3c_2 + 6c_4, \\ A_3 &= -8c_2^4 + 24c_3c_2^2 - 16c_4c_2 - 9c_3^2 + 10c_5, \\ A_4 &= 16c_2^5 - 60c_3c_2^3 + 40c_4c_2^2 + 5(9c_3^2 - 5c_5)c_2 + 15(c_6 - 2c_3c_4), \\ A_5 &= -32c_2^6 + 144c_3c_2^4 - 96c_4c_2^3 + (60c_5 - 162c_3^2)c_2^2 + 36(4c_3c_4 - c_6)c_2 \\ &\quad + 3(9c_3^3 - 15c_5c_3 - 8c_4^2 + 7c_7), \\ A_6 &= 64c_2^7 - 336c_3c_2^5 + 224c_4c_2^4 + 28(18c_3^2 - 5c_5)c_2^3 - 84(6c_3c_4 - c_6)c_2^2 \\ &\quad - 7(27c_3^3 - 30c_5c_3 - 16c_4^2 + 7c_7)c_2 + 7(18c_4c_2^2 - 9c_6c_3 - 10c_4c_5 + 4c_8), \end{aligned}$$

etc.

Now, for the Halley method

$$\Phi_H(y_n) = y_n - \frac{f(y_n)/f'(y_n)}{1 - \tilde{C}_2(y_n)(f(y_n)/f'(y_n))} \quad (2.5)$$

we have

$$\hat{e}_n = \Phi_H(y_n) - \alpha = \tilde{e}_n - g_n \left(1 + \tilde{C}_2(y_n)g_n + [\tilde{C}_2(y_n)g_n]^2 + \dots \right), \quad g_n = \frac{f(y_n)}{f'(y_n)}.$$

Using (2.1), in this case, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{e}_n &= (c_2^2 - c_3)\tilde{e}_n^3 - 3(c_2^3 - 2c_3c_2 + c_4)\tilde{e}_n^4 + 6(c_2^4 - 3c_3c_2^2 + 2c_4c_2 + c_3^2 - c_5)\tilde{e}_n^5 \\ &\quad - [9c_2^5 - 37c_3c_2^3 + 29c_4c_2^2 + 4(7c_3^2 - 5c_5)c_2 - 19c_3c_4 + 10c_6]\tilde{e}_n^6 + O(\tilde{e}_n^7). \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

In our analysis we also need an expansion of $f(z_n)/f'(y_n)$ in terms of $\tilde{e}_n (= y_n - \alpha)$, where $z_n - \alpha = \hat{e}_n$ is given by (2.6). Thus, we have

$$v_n = \frac{f(z_n)}{f'(y_n)} = \frac{\hat{e}_n + c_2\hat{e}_n^2 + c_3\hat{e}_n^3 + \dots}{1 + 2c_2\tilde{e}_n + 3c_3\tilde{e}_n^2 + \dots},$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
v_n &= (c_2^2 - c_3)\tilde{e}_n^3 - (5c_2^3 - 8c_3c_2 + 3c_4)\tilde{e}_n^4 \\
&\quad + (16c_2^4 - 37c_3c_2^2 + 18c_4c_2 + 9c_3^2 - 6c_5)\tilde{e}_n^5 \\
&\quad - (40c_2^5 - 124c_3c_2^3 + 69c_4c_2^2 - (32c_5 - 69c_3^2)c_2 - 32c_3c_4 + 10c_6)\tilde{e}_n^6 \\
&\quad + O(\tilde{e}_n^7). \tag{2.7}
\end{aligned}$$

In the case when $y_n = \Phi_N(x_n)$ and

$$z_n = y_n - \frac{(x_n - y_n)f(y_n)}{f(x_n) - 2f(y_n)}, \tag{2.8}$$

we are interested in

$$u_n = \frac{f(x_n)}{f(y_n)}, \quad t_n = \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(z_n)}, \quad s_n = \frac{f'(x_n)}{f'(z_n)}, \tag{2.9}$$

i.e.,

$$u_n = \frac{e_n + c_2 e_n^2 + c_3 e_n^3 + \dots}{\tilde{e}_n + c_2 \tilde{e}_n^2 + c_3 \tilde{e}_n^3 + \dots}, \tag{2.10}$$

$$t_n = \frac{e_n + c_2 e_n^2 + c_3 e_n^3 + \dots}{1 + 2c_2 \tilde{e}_n + 3c_3 \tilde{e}_n^2 + \dots}, \quad \text{and} \quad s_n = \frac{1 + 2c_2 e_n + 3c_3 e_n^2 + \dots}{1 + 2c_2 \tilde{e}_n + 3c_3 \tilde{e}_n^2 + \dots},$$

where $e_n = x_n - \alpha$, $\tilde{e}_n = y_n - \alpha$, and $\bar{e}_n = z_n - \alpha$.

Here, $\bar{e}_n = \tilde{e}_n - (e_n - \tilde{e}_n)/(u_n - 2)$. According to (2.3) and (2.10) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{e}_n &= c_2(c_2^2 - c_3)e_n^4 - 2(2c_2^4 - 4c_3c_2^2 + c_4c_2 + c_3^2)e_n^5 \\
&\quad + [10c_2^5 - 30c_3c_2^3 + 12c_4c_2^2 + 3c_2(6c_3^2 - c_5) - 7c_3c_4]e_n^6 \\
&\quad + O(e_n^7). \tag{2.11}
\end{aligned}$$

For t_n and s_n we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
t_n &= e_n + c_2e_n^2 + c_3e_n^3 + c_4e_n^4 - (2c_2^4 - 2c_3c_2^2 - c_5)e_n^5 \\
&\quad + (6c_2^5 - 14c_3c_2^3 + 4c_4c_2^2 + 4c_3^2c_2 + c_6)e_n^6 + O(e_n^7) \tag{2.12}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 s_n &= 1 + 2c_2e_n + 3c_3e_n^2 + 4c_4e_n^3 - (2c_2^4 - 2c_3c_2^2 - 5c_5)e_n^4 \\
 &\quad + (4c_2^5 - 12c_3c_2^3 + 4c_4c_2^2 + 4c_3^2c_2 + 6c_6)e_n^5 \\
 &\quad - [4c_2^6 - 22c_3c_2^4 + 16c_4c_2^3 - (6c_5 - 22c_3^2)c_2^2 - 14c_3c_4c_2 - 7c_7]e_n^6 \\
 &\quad + O(e_n^7),
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

respectively.

3. Main results

We consider now the third-step iterative formula given by (2.2), (2.5), and (1.5), i.e.,

$$y_n = \Phi_N(x_n), \quad z_n = \Phi_H(y_n), \quad e_{n+1} = S(y_n, z_n), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, \tag{3.1}$$

for finding a simple zero $x = \alpha$ of the equation (1.1).

Theorem 3.1. *For a sufficiently differentiable function f in $U_\varepsilon(\alpha)$ and x_0 sufficiently close to α , the third-step method (3.1) has tenth order of convergence, i.e.,*

$$e_{n+1} = 3c_2^5c_3(c_3 - c_2^2)e_n^{10} + 30c_2^4(c_2^2 - c_3)^2c_3e_n^{11} + O(e_n^{12}) \tag{3.2}$$

where $e_n = x_n - \alpha$ and c_k are given in (1.4).

Proof. According to (3.1) (and (1.5)) we have

$$e_{n+1} = x_{n+1} - \alpha = S(y_n, z_n) - \alpha = \hat{e}_n - \frac{f(z_n)/f'(y_n)}{1 + 2(\hat{e}_n - \tilde{e}_n)\tilde{C}_2(y_n)},$$

where $\tilde{e}_n = y_n - \alpha$, $\hat{e}_n = z_n - \alpha$, and $\tilde{C}_2(y_n) = f''(y_n)/(2f'(y_n))$. Replacing $\tilde{C}_2(y_n)$ and $v_n = f(z_n)/f'(y_n)$ by the corresponding expressions (2.4) and (2.7), we obtain

$$e_{n+1} = 3c_3(c_3 - c_2^2)\tilde{e}_n^5 + (c_2^5 + 7c_3c_2^3 - 8c_4c_2^2 - 17c_3^2c_2 + 17c_3c_4)\tilde{e}_n^6 + O(\tilde{e}_n^7).$$

Finally, using (2.3) we get (3.2). \square

In [1] the authors also considered the following three-step method

$$\left. \begin{aligned} y_n &= x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)}, \\ z_n &= y_n - \frac{(x_n - y_n)f(y_n)}{f(x_n) - 2f(y_n)}, \\ x_{n+1} &= z_n - \frac{f(z_n)f'(z_n)}{f'(z_n)^2 - \lambda f(z_n) \left\{ \frac{2(f(z_n) - f(x_n))}{(z_n - x_n)^2} - \frac{2f'(x_n)}{z_n - x_n} \right\}}, \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (3.3)$$

with five function evaluations per iteration: $f(x_n)$, $f(y_n)$, $f(z_n)$, $f'(x_n)$, and $f'(z_n)$. Their Theorem 3 states that this method has seventh order of convergence for any value of λ . However, the order of convergence is bigger than seven. Namely, we have the following result:

Theorem 3.2. *For a sufficiently differentiable function f in $U_\varepsilon(\alpha)$ and x_0 sufficiently close to α , the third-step method (3.3) has eighth order of convergence for any $\lambda \neq 1/2$, except for $\lambda = 1/2$ when the convergence is of the order nine. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} e_{n+1} &= -2c_3c_2^2(c_2^2 - c_3)^2e_n^9 \\ &\quad + c_2(c_2^2 - c_3)(16c_3c_2^4 - 3c_4c_2^3 - 32c_3^2c_2^2 + 11c_2c_3c_4 + 8c_3^3)e_n^{10} \\ &\quad + O(e_n^{11}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where $e_n = x_n - \alpha$ and c_k are given in (1.4).

Proof. Using the expansion (2.1) for the Newton correction $f(x_n)/f'(x_n) =: h(e_n)$, we have $f(z_n)/f'(z_n) = h(\bar{e}_n)$, where \bar{e}_n is given by (2.11). According to (2.9), for the third formula in (3.3) we get

$$e_{n+1} = \bar{e}_n - \frac{h(\bar{e}_n)}{1 - 2\lambda \left\{ \frac{h(\bar{e}_n) - t_n}{(\bar{e}_n - e_n)^2} - \frac{s_n}{\bar{e}_n - e_n} \right\}}, \quad (3.5)$$

where the expansions for t_n and s_n are given by (2.12) and (2.13), respectively. This gives

$$\begin{aligned} e_{n+1} &= (1 - 2\lambda)c_2^3(c_2^2 - c_3)^2e_n^8 + 4c_2^2(c_2^2 - c_3)[2(2\lambda - 1)c_2^4 + (4 - 9\lambda)c_3c_2^2 \\ &\quad + (2\lambda - 1)c_4c_2 + (3\lambda - 1)c_3^2]e_n^9 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

For $\lambda = 1/2$ it reduces to (3.4). \square

Thus, the computational efficiency of the method (3.3), for $\lambda = 1/2$, is $\text{EFF} = 9^{1/5} \approx 1.55185$. With the same function evaluations we can get a slightly simpler method of order nine with the same efficiency.

Theorem 3.3. *For a sufficiently differentiable function f in $U_\varepsilon(\alpha)$ and x_0 sufficiently close to α , the third-step method*

$$\left. \begin{aligned} y_n &= x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)}, \\ z_n &= y_n - \frac{(x_n - y_n)f(y_n)}{f(x_n) - 2f(y_n)}, \\ x_{n+1} &= z_n - \frac{f(z_n)f'(z_n)}{f'(z_n)^2 - \frac{1}{2}f'(z_n)\frac{f'(z_n) - f'(x_n)}{z_n - x_n}}, \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (3.6)$$

has ninth order of convergence, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} e_{n+1} &= -\frac{3}{2}c_3c_2^2(c_2^2 - c_3)^2e_n^9 \\ &\quad + 2c_2(c_2^2 - c_3)(6c_2^4c_3 - 12c_2^2c_3^2 + 3c_3^3 - c_2^3c_4 + 4c_2c_3c_4)e_n^{10} \\ &\quad + O(e_n^{11}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

where $e_n = x_n - \alpha$ and c_k are given in (1.4).

Proof. Similarly as in the proof of the previous theorem, we have now

$$e_{n+1} = \bar{e}_n - \frac{h(\bar{e}_n)}{1 - \frac{1}{2}h(\bar{e}_n)\frac{1 - s_n}{\bar{e}_n - e_n}}$$

instead of (3.5). This gives (3.7). \square

The number of function evaluations in (3.6) can be reduced to four if we take an approximation of $f'(z_n)$ in the form

$$f'(z_n) \approx \tilde{f}'(z_n) = p_n f(x_n) + q_n f(y_n) + r_n f(z_n) + w_n f'(x_n),$$

obtained by the Hermite interpolation (cf. [3, pp. 51–58]), where

$$p_n = \frac{(y_n - z_n)(z_n + 2y_n - 3x_n)}{(x_n - y_n)^2(x_n - z_n)}, \quad q_n = \frac{(x_n - z_n)^2}{(x_n - y_n)^2(y_n - z_n)},$$

$$r_n = \frac{3z_n - 2y_n - x_n}{(x_n - z_n)(y_n - z_n)}, \quad w_n = \frac{y_n - z_n}{x_n - y_n}.$$

For a such modified three-step method, in notation (3.6^M), the following result holds:

Theorem 3.4. *For a sufficiently differentiable function f in $U_\varepsilon(\alpha)$ and x_0 sufficiently close to α , the third-step method (3.6^M) has eight order of convergence, i.e.,*

$$e_{n+1} = (c_2^2 - c_3)c_2^2c_4e_n^8 - \frac{1}{2} \left[3c_2^5(c_2c_3 + 4c_4) - 2c_2^4(3c_3^2 + 2c_5) \right. \\ \left. + c_2^2(3c_3^3 + 4c_3c_5 + 4c_4^2) + 8c_2c_3c_4(c_3 - 3c_2^2) \right] e_n^9 + O(e_n^{10}),$$

where $e_n = x_n - \alpha$ and c_k are given in (1.4).

The corresponding computational efficiency is now much better, $\text{EFF} = 8^{1/4} \approx 1.68179$.

Example 3.1. Consider the equation

$$f(x) = xe^{x^2} - \sin^2 x + 3 \cos x + 5 = 0,$$

with a simple zero

$$\alpha = -1.20764782713091892700941675835608409776023581894953881520592 \dots$$

In order to show the behavior of three-step methods (1.3), (3.1), (3.3), (3.6) and (3.6^M) we need a multi-precision arithmetics. Starting with $x_0 = -1$, we use MATHEMATICA with 10000 significant digits. The errors $e_n = x_n - \alpha$ are given in Table 3.1. Numbers in parentheses indicate decimal exponents. Besides the convergence order (r) we give also the corresponding computational efficiency (EFF).

TABLE 3.1. The errors $e_n = x_n - \alpha$, $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$, in three-step methods

method	(1.3)	(3.1)	(3.3)	(3.6)	(3.6 ^M)
order	$r = 8$	$r = 10$	$r = 9$	$r = 9$	$r = 8$
EFF	1.41421	1.46780	1.55185	1.55185	1.68179
$n = 0$	2.08(-1)	2.08(-1)	2.08(-1)	2.08(-1)	2.08(-1)
$n = 1$	-1.05(-5)	3.70(-6)	-1.19(-7)	-9.24(-8)	-2.25(-6)
$n = 2$	-2.87(-40)	5.66(-54)	2.74(-63)	2.15(-64)	-8.57(-46)
$n = 3$	-8.87(-317)	3.93(-532)	-5.05(-564)	-4.26(-574)	-3.77(-361)
$n = 4$	-7.48(-2529)	1.02(-5313)	1.26(-5070)	2.204(-5161)	-5.32(-2884)

References

- [1] N.A. Mir, T. Zaman, Some quadrature based three-step iterative methods for non-linear equations, *Appl. Math. Comput.* (2007)
doi: 10.1016/j.amc.2007.03.071
- [2] G.V. Milovanović, *Numerical Analysis, Part I*, Third edition, Naučna Knjiga, Beograd, 1991 (in Serbian).
- [3] G.V. Milovanović, *Numerical Analysis, Part II*, Third edition, Naučna Knjiga, Beograd, 1991 (in Serbian).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF ELECTRONIC ENGINEERING,
UNIVERSITY OF NIŠ, P.O. BOX 73, 18000 NIŠ, SERBIA
E-mail address: grade@elfak.ni.ac.yu, aca@elfak.ni.ac.yu